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Abstract

Effector proteins of innate immune systems recognize specific non-self epitopes. Tectonins are a family of β-propeller lectins conserved from bacteria to mammals that have been shown to bind bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS). We present experimental evidence that two Tectonins of fungal and animal origin have a specificity for O-methylated glycans. We show that Tectonin 2 of the mushroom *Laccaria bicolor* (Lb-Tec2) agglutinates Gram-negative bacteria and exerts toxicity towards the model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, suggesting a role in fungal defense against bacteria and nematodes. Biochemical and genetic analysis of these interactions revealed that both bacterial agglutination and nematotoxicity of Lb-Tec2 depend on the recognition of methylated glycans, i.e. O-methylated mannose and fucose residues as part of bacterial LPS and nematode cell surface glycans. In addition, a *C. elegans* gene, termed *samt-1*, coding for a candidate membrane transport protein for the presumptive donor substrate of glycan methylation, S-adenosyl-methionine, from the cytoplasm to the Golgi, was identified. Intriguingly, limulus lectin L6, a structurally related antibacterial protein of the Japanese horseshoe crab *Tachypleus tridentatus*, showed properties identical to the mushroom lectin. These results suggest that O-methylated glycans constitute a conserved target of the fungal and animal innate immune system. The broad phylogenetic distribution of O-methylated glycans increases the spectrum of potential antagonists recognized by Tectonins, rendering this conserved protein family a universal defense armor.
Significance Statement

Defense mechanisms against predators, parasites and pathogens are a hallmark of all multicellular life forms. A conserved defense mechanism is mediated by toxic proteins. Because of the limited number of innate defense effectors in a specific host organism, the target epitopes of such toxins are usually highly conserved or occur in different molecular contexts in order to cover a large spectrum of antagonists. Since glycan epitopes are part of different surface-displayed glycoconjugates in different organisms, carbohydrate-binding proteins (lectins) are the prevailing type of protein toxins in many multicellular organisms. Here, we provide evidence that defense lectins can be specific for secondary glycan modifications, e.g. O-methylation, thereby broadening the range of target organisms.
Introduction

Organisms relying solely on innate immunity face the problem that a limited set of effector proteins has to be effective against a large number of different antagonists. This problem is alleviated by targeting glycan rather than protein epitopes as similar glycan epitopes can occur in various glycoconjugates and/or various organisms. In addition, glycans show much less adaptation to selective pressure than proteins due to the fact that they are not primary but secondary gene products. Accordingly, glycan-binding proteins, commonly referred to as lectins, play a crucial role in innate (and adaptive) immunity. Binding of potential pathogens by lectins leads to phagocytosis, complement activation and antigen processing but also regulation of adaptive immune functions (1). In addition to their role in pathogen recognition, some lectins act as direct defense effectors by intoxicating the antagonist upon binding. Examples are lectins from plants and fungi directed against herbivores and fungivores as well as human galectins targeting bacterial pathogens (2-4).

One class of lectins that has been associated with innate immunity is the superfamily of Tectonin-domain containing proteins, commonly referred to as Tectonins. The Tectonin-domain comprises a 33 to 37 amino acid consensus sequence that was first reported in Tectonin I and II proteins from the slime mold Physarum polycephalum (5). To date, Tectonins from various organisms including sponge, horseshoe crab, fish and human have been characterized (6-12). Some of these proteins consist exclusively of Tectonin-domain tandem repeats, whereas others comprise additional modules (Fig. 1B). Tandem repeats of Tectonin domains are predicted to form \( \beta \)-propeller structures with each domain representing a blade formed by a four-stranded antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheet (5, 10). Several members of the Tectonin superfamily have been described as defense molecules or recognition factors in innate immunity based on antibacterial activity or bacteria-induced expression. As most of them bind to bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS), these proteins were proposed as lectins. Examples are the \textit{P. polycephalum} Tectonins proposed to play a role in phagocytosis of bacteria (5), limulus lectin L6 (Tachylectin-1) from the Japanese horseshoe crab \textit{Tachypleus tridentatus} and a Tectonin from the sponge \textit{Suberites domuncula} shown to exhibit antibacterial activity (7, 9), as well as galactose-binding protein from the mangrove horseshoe crab \textit{Carcinoscorpius rotundicauda} (Cr-GBP) and human Tectonin (hTectonin).
suggested to mediate antimicrobial defense by interaction with host defense proteins as well as pathogenic bacteria (8, 10). Although binding to bacterial LPS has been demonstrated for all these proteins, their exact carbohydrate-binding specificity remained unclear. In contrast to these representatives of the Tectonin superfamily, Tachylectin-P (TL-P), a Tectonin isolated from perivitelline fluid of *T. tridentatus* and highly homologous to L6, did not bind to bacteria and was proposed to play a role in the completion of embryonic development of this organism by interacting with *N*-acetylhexosamines of endogenous glycoproteins (13).

Here, we demonstrate that Tectonin 2 from the ectomycorrhizal mushroom *Laccaria bicolor* (Lb-Tec2) agglutinates Gram-negative bacteria and is toxic for the model nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. Genetic analysis revealed that both bacterial agglutination and nematotoxicity of the protein depend on its binding to bacterial LPS and *C. elegans* N-glycans, respectively. We provide biochemical evidence that Lb-Tec2 is specific for O-methylated mannose (and fucose) residues present on *C. elegans* N-glycans and defined bacterial LPS. Intriguingly, the lectin L6 from the Japanese horseshoe crab *T. tridentatus* has the same specificity. Thus, we suggest that Tectonins constitute a novel class of lectins specific for O-methylated glycans. As glycans carrying this modification are present in bacteria, worms and mollusks, this epitope represents a hitherto unknown and conserved target of fungal and animal defense strategies that allows the coverage of a wide range of antagonists using a single specificity. Finally, we used *C. elegans* genetics as a tool to study the largely unknown biosynthesis of methylated glycans in nematodes. Mutation of a *C. elegans* gene coding for a member of the major facilitator superfamily conferred resistance to Lb-Tec2 and resulted in the lack of methylated N- and O-glycans. We hypothesize that the encoded protein, termed SAMT-1, is required for the transport of the donor substrate for glycan O-methylation, S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM), from the cytoplasm to the Golgi lumen.
Results

Tectonins from *Laccaria bicolor* are related to animal proteins involved in innate immunity against bacteria. The genome of the ectomycorrhizal mushroom *L. bicolor* encodes several predicted Tectonins (GenBank Accession numbers XP_001877906.1, XP_001876432.1, XP_001876091.1, XP_001875654.1). Of these, Tectonin 1 (Lb-Tec1) (XP_001877906.1) and Tectonin 2 (Lb-Tec2) (XP_001876432.1) each consist of six tandemly arranged Tectonin-domains (Fig. 1B). Both proteins lack a signal sequence and are therefore predicted to be cytoplasmic. Transcription of the gene coding for Lb-Tec2 is 18-fold upregulated in fruiting bodies compared to vegetative mycelium whereas the expression of Lb-Tec1 is only three-fold induced (32). In addition, transcription of Lb-Tec2 is upregulated in *L. bicolor* vegetative mycelium upon challenge with several rhizobacteria (33). Similar expression patterns have been observed for lectins involved in fungal defense against predators and parasites (3).

Tectonins have been identified and characterized in slime molds as well as in animals ranging from invertebrates to humans (10) and genes coding for Tectonins have been discovered in the genomes of various, mostly multicellular (filamentous) bacteria. The *L. bicolor* Tectonins show highest homology to the predicted Tectonins of filamentous actinobacteria and to Tectonin I and II of the slime mold *P. polycephalum* (Fig. 1A). More distantly related homologues of fungal Tectonins are found in marine invertebrates (arthropods and sponges) as well as vertebrates (fishes, frogs, reptiles and mammals). Among fungi, Tectonin-encoding genes occur mainly in the genomes of agaricomycetes, including the ectomycorrhizal species *L. bicolor, Laccaria amethystina, Paxillus rubicundulus, Hebeloma cylindrosporum, Cortinarius glaucopus* and the saprobic species *Galerina marginata*, but are also found in the genome of the glomeromycete *Rhizophagus irregularis (Glomus intraradices)*, an arbuscular mycorrhizal species. No homologous genes have been identified in ascomycetes, zygomycetes or chytrids to date. Sequencing of further fungal genomes will clarify the distribution of Tectonins within the fungal kingdom. Like the characterized Tectonins from slime mold, sponge and horseshoe crab (5, 7-9, 13, 34) and the predicted Tectonins from filamentous bacteria, fungal Tectonins
consist of multiple Tectonin-domains and lack a signal sequence for secretion (Fig. 1B).

A multiple sequence alignment of an individual Tectonin-domain (underlined by a green box in Fig. 1B) of each protein included in our phylogenetic analysis is shown in Fig. 1C. The conserved amino acid residues are in accordance with previous reports (5, 10). In contrast to their animal homologues, L. bicolor and most bacterial Tectonins do not contain any cysteine residues. The repetitive sequences of Tectonins are most closely related to WD proteins suggesting that they form β-propeller structures (35). Accordingly, Lb-Tec2 is predicted by the structure prediction program PHYRE2 (30) to adopt a six-bladed β-propeller. However, neither is a crystal structure of a Tectonin available nor has lectin function of such a protein unequivocally been demonstrated to date.

**Lb-Tec2 is toxic towards C. elegans and this toxicity depends on binding to N-glycans.** Lb-Tec2 shares several properties with fungal defense lectins, such as the small size, the predicted cytoplasmic localization, the presumptive binding to carbohydrates and its induction in the fruiting body or upon bacterial challenge. We therefore assessed a potential function of Lb-Tec2 in fungal defense against insects and nematodes. For this purpose the corresponding cDNA was generated, cloned in an E. coli expression vector and soluble recombinant Lb-Tec2 was obtained upon expression in E. coli BL21 at 23 °C for 16 h. Attempts to purify His-tagged Lb-Tec2 via Nickel-sepharose revealed binding of the protein to the sepharose CL-6B matrix, which is a cross-linked algal polysaccharide consisting of D-galactose β1,4-linked to 3,6-anhydro-L-galactose. Thus, sepharose-binding was a first indication for a carbohydrate-binding function of Lb-Tec2. Interestingly, binding to sepharose CL-6B has also been reported for L6 and GBPs (7, 8, 34).

We next tested recombinant Lb-Tec2 for toxicity against *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Aedes aegypti* and *C. elegans* using previously described assays (19, 20, 36). Recombinant Lb-Tec2 was not toxic for the tested insects (see SI Appendix, Fig. S1), but displayed significant toxicity towards *C. elegans* N2 impairing the development of 100% of the larvae (Fig. 2A). In this assay, *C. elegans* L1 larvae failed to develop to the L4 stage when fed with *E. coli* BL21 expressing Lb-Tec2. To
investigate whether the observed nematotoxicity was glycan-dependent, we studied the effect of Lb-Tec2 on established *C. elegans* N-glycosylation mutants (Fig. 2A). *C. elegans fut-8(ok2558)* as well as the double mutants *fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892)* and *fut-6(ok475);fut-8(ok2558)*, lacking fucose-residues linked to the GlcNAc units of the N-glycan core (37-39), were equally susceptible towards Lb-Tec2 as the wild-type strain. We therefore concluded that α1,3- or α1,6-linked core-fucose were not required for Lb-Tec2-induced nematotoxicity. Similarly, *C. elegans bre-3(ye26)* lacking extended glycosphingolipids (40) was fully susceptible towards intoxication by Lb-Tec2 indicating that glycolipids are not targets of fungal Tectonin. In contrast, the *C. elegans* triple mutant *gly-14(id48);gly-12(id47)gly-13(ok712)* and the single mutant *aman-2(tm1078)* were still susceptible to Lb-Tec2 but showed a considerably higher fraction of L4 staged larvae. In accordance with these results, the *hex-3(tm2725);hex-2(tm2530)* double mutant did not show any apparent susceptibility to Lb-Tec2-intoxication. Reduced toxicity towards these *C. elegans* mutants displaying altered structures of N-glycan antennae was the first evidence for a carbohydrate-dependent function of Lb-Tec2. To further establish this observation, we tested additional glycosylation mutants of *C. elegans* with *pmk-1(km25)* background. Similar to the N2 wildtype strain, *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)*, which was previously shown to be hypersensitive to different kinds of stress, including exposure to fungal lectins, due to a defective MAPK pathway (18), did not develop to the L4 stage when feeding on Lb-Tec2-expressing bacteria (Fig. 2B). As observed for the N2 background, Lb-Tec2 caused toxicity towards *C. elegans fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892);pmk-1(km25)*. In agreement with these results, a *pmk-1(km25);galt-1(op497)* mutant lacking the Galβ1,4Fuc epitope on the innermost GlcNAc of the N-glycan core (41) was also susceptible to the toxin. Finally, we tested *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)bre-1(op509)* and *ger-1(op499);pmk-1(km25)*, two strains defective in the fucose biosynthesis pathway (42, 43). Both strains exhibited significant susceptibility towards Lb-Tec2, but this susceptibility was reduced when compared to the *pmk-1(km25)* strain suggesting a role of fucose in nematotoxicity. As changes in fucosylation of the N-glycan core did not affect toxicity, while the complete absence of fucose did reduce toxicity we concluded that fucosylation of the antennae is at least partially required for susceptibility to Lb-Tec2. The enzyme transferring fucose to the antennae of N-glycans in *C. elegans*, however, is not known. Fucosyltransferases encoded by *fut-2, fut-3* and *fut-4* seem not to be
involved in this process as *C. elegans* single mutants in these genes were susceptible to Lb-Tec2 (see SI Appendix, Fig. S2). However, redundancy of the enzymes cannot be excluded.

In addition to *C. elegans* toxicity assays with Lb-Tec2-expressing bacteria, we performed feeding experiments with purified, fluorescently labeled Lb-Tec2. TAMRA-Lb-Tec2 bound to the intestine of *C. elegans* N2 (see SI Appendix, Fig. S3), a staining pattern that was previously observed with several nematotoxic fungal lectins (18, 20). Unlike any other of these lectins, TAMRA-Lb-Tec2 also bound to the surface of adult *C. elegans* lighting up specific structures, e.g. annuli and alae, of the nematode (Fig. 2C). Interestingly, surface staining correlated with impaired movement of the nematodes that was previously described as skiddy phenotype (44) (Movie S1 and S2).

**Deficiency in a transporter of the major facilitator superfamily leads to Lb-Tec2-resistance of *C. elegans***. In order to identify additional genes involved in the biosynthesis of the Lb-Tec2 target epitope in *C. elegans*, we performed a forward genetic screen for Lb-Tec2-resistant mutants. For this purpose, a Mos1-mediated transposon mutagenesis was carried out on a population of *C. elegans* pmk-1(km25) and mutant nematodes were screened for absence of Lb-Tec2-induced skiddy movement. Indeed, one *C. elegans* mutant, carrying a Mos1-insertion (op532) in gene Y54G2A.4 (*samt-1*) encoding a protein of the major facilitator superfamily (MFS1), was unaffected in its movement by exposure to Lb-Tec2. In addition, this mutant was completely resistant for Lb-Tec2-induced toxicity (Fig. 2B) and was not stained with TAMRA-labeled Lb-Tec2 (Fig. 2C). We concluded that the *samt-1* gene product was necessary for the biosynthesis of the Lb-Tec2 target epitope on *C. elegans* N-glycan antenna. However, as the function of the identified MFS1 transporter was not known, the target epitope of Lb-Tec2 remained unclear.

**Lb-Tec2 binds to N-glycans of *C. elegans*** proteins *in vitro*. In order to confirm N-glycans as the possible target of Lb-Tec2 by other means, we took a biochemical approach. For this purpose, recombinant Lb-Tec2 was purified by affinity chromatography using sepharose CL-6B as a matrix and 0.4 M GlcNAc as an eluent
according to procedures that had previously been described for L6 and GBP (7, 8, 34).

To assay binding of Lb-Tec2 to nematode N-glycoproteins, protein extracts from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* and *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* were probed with biotinylated Lb-Tec2 in a lectin blot (Fig. 3A). In this assay, Lb-Tec2 bound to several proteins from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* migrating at around 250 kDa in SDS-PAGE. In contrast, no binding was observed in the case of proteins extracted from the resistant *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* strain. A prominent band at around 73 kDa was also present in the negative control not incubated with the biotinylated lectin and therefore did not arise from Lb-Tec2-binding. Based on these results, we concluded that Lb-Tec2 recognizes a *samt-1* dependent modification that is present on many *C. elegans* proteins. To confirm the genetic data suggesting N-glycans as targets of Lb-Tec2, we assessed binding to *C. elegans* proteins that had been deprived from N-glycans enzymatically. As PNGase F is inhibited by α1,3-fucosylation of the N-glycan core, a modification highly abundant in nematodes, we took advantage of the *C. elegans* double mutant *fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892)* lacking α1,3-core-fucosylation (20). In agreement with the genetic data, *in vitro* binding of Lb-Tec2 to *C. elegans* proteins was not affected by this alteration in N-glycan structure (Fig. 3B). However, de-N-glycosylation of *fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892)* proteins by PNGase F resulted in loss of Lb-Tec2-binding. The absence of binding was not due to protein degradation as a mock treatment of the protein extract without PNGase F did not affect binding of Lb-Tec2.

Despite the partial resistance of *C. elegans* N-glycosylation mutants to Lb-Tec2 (Fig. 2), glycan array analysis of purified Lb-Tec2 did not reveal binding to any structure present on the mammalian glycan array of the Consortium for Functional Glycomics (Dataset S1). We concluded that Lb-Tec2 targeted a modification of N-glycans on *C. elegans* proteins that was not present on mammalian N-glycans and that the *samt-1* gene product was required for the biosynthesis of this modification.

*C. elegans pmk-1(km25):samt-1(op532)* mutant lacks O-methylation of glycans. In order to identify this modification, we made use of the identified Lb-Tec2-resistant *C. elegans* mutant.
First, we performed a structural analysis of N- and O-glycans of *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* and *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* strains by MALDI-TOF-MS and TOF-TOF-MS/MS. In order to be able to detect glycan modifications including the previously described O-methylation of *C. elegans* N-glycans (45), we perdeuteromethylated the glycans before analysis. Intriguingly, we found that PNGase A-released N-glycans from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* were highly modified with methyl-groups (Fig. 4). In contrast, a much lower level of methylation was observed in N-glycans from *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)*. Detailed MS-MS analysis revealed the presence of up to two methylated residues on the antennae of *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* N-glycans. However, it was not possible to deduce the exact position of the methyl-group on the sugar residues and the position of the methylated sugar within the antennae. Our data yet provided evidence that core fucose residues were not modified with methyl-groups. The N-glycan fraction released by PNGase F prior to PNGase A of both analyzed strains contained less glycan structures due to the inability of PNGase F to release α1,3-core-fucosylated N-glycans (see SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Moreover, methylation of N-glycans occurred mainly on the antennae of α1,3-core-fucosylated glycans as methyl-groups were more abundant in PNGase A released N-glycans of *pmk-1(km25)* compared to the PNGase F released fraction. Similar to N-glycans, high amounts of methylated O-glycans were present in *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* whereas very low levels of methylated O-glycan structures were detected in *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* (see SI Appendix, Fig. S6). These results suggested that the *samt-1*-encoded MFS1 transporter was required for methylation of N- and O-glycans in *C. elegans*.

To confirm the results, we performed a monosaccharide analysis of *C. elegans* N-glycans. N-glycans were released from trypsinized protein extracts using PNGase A and monosaccharide composition was determined by HPLC analysis in comparison to standard monosaccharides (Fig. 5; see SI Appendix, Fig. S4). We observed minimal amounts of 3-O-methyl-mannose in *C. elegans* N2 and *pmk-1(km25)* N-glycans. In addition, we detected small amounts of 2-O-methyl-fucose as had previously been reported (45). Consistent with the results of the structural analysis, Lb-Tec2-resistant *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* did not contain any 3-O-methyl-mannose or 2-O-methyl-fucose. The increase of fucose in *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* compared to the wild-type strain was in accordance with the absence of the
methylated monosaccharide indicating that fucose biosynthesis itself was not affected by the mutation. Residual amounts of fucose were present in pmk-1(km25)bre-1(op509) and ger-1(op499);pmk-1(km25), possibly due to residual gene function or utilization of fucose of bacterial origin in these mutants.

Taken together, these results strongly suggested that the nematotoxicity of Lb-Tec2 depended on the binding of the lectin to O-methylated mannose or fucose residues on the antenna of C. elegans N-glycans.

**Lb-Tec2 binds to 3-O-methylated mannose and 2-O-methylated fucose.** To obtain direct evidence for Lb-Tec2-binding to methylated glycans, microcalorimetry with methylated allyl-monosaccharides in comparison to non-methylated monosaccharides was performed. Due to the low solubility (< 350 μM) and the low affinity of Lb-Tec2, titrations with low c values were performed. These titrations required a fixed N-value and yielded reliable K_d values, whereas enthalpy (H) and entropy (S) values were of reduced reliability (46). Based on the six-bladed propeller structure predicted by PHYRE2 and the fact that β-propeller lectins usually possess one binding site per blade, six binding sites were assumed in the fit of a one binding site model. Lb-Tec2 bound to allyl 3-O-methyl mannoside and allyl 2-O-methyl fucoside with an average K_d value of 21 and 4 mM, respectively (Fig. 6). No binding was observed to allyl mannoside whereas low binding (K_d value of 75 mM) was detected to allyl fucoside. A five-fold higher K_d value was observed for allyl 3-O-methyl fucoside compared to allyl 2-O-methyl fucoside demonstrating a certain regiospecificity of Lb-Tec2. The obtained microcalorimetry titration data are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S2.

**Lb-Tec2 agglutinates E. coli O8 cells comprising LPS with terminal 3-O-methylated mannose.** To further confirm the proposed carbohydrate specificity, we tested the lectin for its capacity to agglutinate a set of bacterial strains exhibiting defined LPS structures with regard to methylation (see SI Appendix, Fig. S7). In many bacteria, the core oligosaccharide extending from lipid A is capped with a repeating unit glycan polymer known as the O-polysaccharide or O-antigen. O-antigen structures within a given species can vary significantly with regard to composition of the repeat unit and the glycosidic linkages. The polymannan O-
polysaccharide of *E. coli* O8 has a nonreducing terminal 3-O-methyl group (14); *E. coli* O9a comprises a methyl-phosphate group on the 3-position of the non-reducing terminal mannose of the O-antigen repeating unit (15). Intriguingly, Lb-Tec2 agglutinated *E. coli* O8:K- cells whereas no agglutination was observed in the case of *E. coli* O8-:K- lacking the O-antigen. Agglutination was also absent for *E. coli* O9a:K- carrying LPS with terminal 3-O-methyl-phosphate-mannose. Therefore, we concluded that Lb-Tec2 specifically bound to 3-O-methylated mannose.

**T. tridentatus** L6 has the same specificity as Lb-Tec2. Finally, we set out to test whether the distantly related Tectonin L6 from the Japanese horseshoe crab, *T. tridentatus*, has the same specificity as Lb-Tec2. For this purpose, we synthesized the L6 coding region and expressed the protein in the *E. coli* cytoplasm analogous to Lb-Tec2. Due to solubility issues, we used the *E. coli* strain ArcticExpress and low temperature for expression. As positive control for the *E. coli* agglutination tests and the *C. elegans* toxicity assays, we expressed Lb-Tec2 under the same conditions. The *E. coli* agglutination assays were performed with soluble extracts instead of purified proteins because L6 could not easily be purified from the chaperones of the ArcticExpress strain. Under the used conditions, both L6- and Lb-Tec2-containing extracts specifically agglutinated O8:K- cells whereas no agglutination was observed with extracts of empty vector-containing bacteria or any of the other *E. coli* strains (Fig. 7A). Accordingly, L6- and Lb-Tec2-expressing ArticExpress cells showed significant toxicity towards *C. elegans pmk-1(km25) but not to *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25) mutant worms* (Fig. 7B). These results implied that the animal Tectonin L6 had a similar activity and specificity as the fungal Tectonin Lb-Tec2.
Discussion
The domain architecture of Tectonins is well conserved throughout the different phyla (Fig. 1B) and Tectonins from both invertebrates and vertebrates have been associated with innate immunity. Lectin functions have been ascribed to this protein family, but this functionality was primarily based on Tectonin-binding to complex glycans including sepharose and LPS. A clear carbohydrate-binding specificity has been missing to date.

In this study, we demonstrate that two rather distantly related members of this protein family, Tectonin 2 of the mushroom *L. bicolor* and L6 of the horseshoe crab *T. tridentatus*, both bind specifically to O-methylated glycans. These results confirm the lectin function of this protein family and suggest that not only the domain architecture but also the carbohydrate-binding specificity is conserved among the different family members. This conservation can be explained by a strong selection pressure or a rather recent horizontal transfer of the corresponding genes from animals to fungi (and bacteria). In agreement with latter hypothesis, only few fungal (and bacterial) genomes appear to contain Tectonin-encoding genes.

The relatively low affinity of Lb-Tec2 to allyl 3-O-methyl mannose and allyl 2-O-methyl fucose *in vitro* indicates that these monosaccharides are not sufficient for efficient binding of the lectin. Latter might be achieved by additional contacts of the carbohydrate-binding pocket of the lectin to subterminal glycan residues or by the presence of multiple methylated carbohydrate residues on the bound glycan. The importance of subterminal glycan residues has been demonstrated for many lectins, e.g. the fungal defense lectin CCL2 which was recently shown to bind with high affinity to the trisaccharide GlcNAc-β1,4-(Fuc-α1,3-)GlcNAc but had no detectable affinity to the monosaccharide fucose in isothermal titration calorimetry (20). Multivalency of the ligand, on the other hand, is highly relevant for the affinity of Tectonins to glycans as these β-propeller lectins are expected to have multiple carbohydrate-binding sites. Accordingly, the affinity of another horseshoe crab β-propeller lectin, Tachylectin-2, which does not belong to the Tectonin superfamily, for BSA carrying multiple immobilized GlcNAc-residues exceeded the affinity for free GlcNAc by three orders of magnitude (47, 48).
The lack of appropriate subterminal glycan residues might also explain the lack of Lb-Tec2-binding to PNGase F-treated C. elegans protein extracts (Fig. 3B) despite the presence of methylated O-glycans on these proteins (see SI Appendix, Fig. S6). Alternatively, O-methylation of C. elegans O-glycans may occur on different monosaccharides with a different stereochemistry around the O-methylated position. As a matter of fact, 3-O-methyl mannose and 2-O-methyl fucose are very similar in this regard which explains why this lectin is able to bind to two different O-methylated monosaccharides. Testing of additional methylated monosaccharides, e.g. 4-O-methyl mannose, for binding may reveal the pattern of hydroxyl groups recognized by Lb-Tec2. The molecular basis of the observed specificity should be resolved by the structural analyses of Lb-Tec2/ligand complexes. It will be interesting to see e.g. whether the coordination of this epitope occurs analogous to Anguilla anguilla agglutinin (AAA) where the methyl groups of either fucose or 3-O-methyl galactose are bound via a hydrophobic pocket (49).

The predicted cytoplasmic localization of Lb-Tec2 is in accordance with its role in fungal defense as fungivorous nematodes feed on the cytoplasm of fungal cells using a syringe-like stylet (50). Once ingested by the nematode, the lectin interacts with glycoproteins in the digestive tract of the fungivorous nematode. The relevance of the target glycan on the surface of the nematode is not clear as the protein would have to be released from the fungal cytoplasm to bind to this target. The same issue applies to a possible role of Lb-Tec2 in fungal defense against bacteria. Thus far, this role is based on induction of Lb-Tec2 in vegetative mycelium of L. bicolor upon confrontation with an antagonistic soil bacterium and a mycorrhiza helper bacterium (33), the agglutination of the E. coli O8:K- strain by Lb-Tec2 (Fig. 7A) and the bacterial agglutination and growth inhibition reported for animal Tectonins including limulus lectin L6 (7). Lb-Tec2 would certainly be in place for the interaction with bacteria invading the fungal cytoplasm. In order to agglutinate non-invasive bacteria, however, the protein would have to be released, e.g. upon lysis of the fungal cell by bacterial enzymes. In contrast, limulus lectin L6 was located in large granules of hemocytes in the horseshoe crab despite the lack of classical secretory signal in its protein sequence (7). Similar to the induction of Lb-Tec2 by bacteria, a fish Tectonin has been shown to be induced upon challenge with pathogenic bacteria (11).
The dual phenotype of *C. elegans* upon Lb-Tec2 treatment, skiddy movement and inhibition of larval development, is intriguing. The underlying mechanisms are unclear at the moment and were not addressed in this study. Based on preliminary results, we hypothesize that the skiddy phenotype is caused by crosslinking of the outer surface of the worm cuticle with the agar surface by the lectin. Movement of the worm then leads to stripping of the outermost layer of the cuticle, e.g. the surface coat, and concomitant loss of traction of the worm on the agar surface. Inhibition of larval development, on the other hand, is likely to be caused by crosslinking of glycoproteins on the luminal side of the intestinal epithelium which leads to destruction of its microvillar structure and, as a consequence, loss of its function in nutrient uptake.

Our study not only sheds light on the function of Tectonins but also on the structure and biosynthesis of O-methylated glycans in nematodes. In *C. elegans*, O-methylated carbohydrate residues were shown to be present on N-glycans as 3- or 4-O-methyl-mannose and 2-O-methyl-fucose (45). We demonstrate that O-methylated carbohydrate residues are also present on O-glycans although these residues were not bound by Lb-Tec2 (see SI Appendix, Fig. S6; Fig. 3B). The physiological role of glycan-methylation in nematodes is not known. The *C. elegans* *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* mutant lacking methylated N- and O-glycans did not display any phenotype under laboratory conditions. Preliminary results indicated a possible regulation of surface but not intestinal Lb-Tec2 target epitope expression upon developmental and environmental signals. It is therefore possible that the target epitopes on the cuticle and the intestine are different. Interestingly, methylated glycans of *Toxocara canis* have been associated with antigenicity of this parasitic nematode as this epitope is absent from mammals. The excretory-secretory antigens of this parasitic nematode contain 2-O-methyl-fucose and 4-O-methyl-galactose (51).

Biosynthesis of methylated glycans is proposed to take place in the Golgi where a methyl-group is transferred from the substrate S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) to the mature glycan. However, detailed studies of this process are missing. The products of this reaction are the O-methylated glycan and S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH). SAM, the donor substrate for various methylation reactions within the cell, is synthesized in the cytosol. Thus, availability of SAM in the lumen of the Golgi would...
require a specific transporter as is the case for some nucleotide-activated monosaccharides. However, neither a Golgi-SAM-transporter nor a methyltransferase acting on glycans have been identified to date. A C. elegans forward genetic screen based on Lb-Tec2-induced skiddy movement and toxicity allowed for the identification of an MFS1 transporter required for methylation of glycans. The MFS family 1 is referred to as sugar porter (SP) family as substrates of many family members transport mono- and disaccharides. However, also transporters of non-sugar molecules such as quinate, organo-cations and inositols belong to this protein family (52). We propose that the identified transporter in C. elegans represents the first Golgi-SAM-transporter to be described. The respective gene was therefore named samt-1. Subcellular localization of the C. elegans transporter and proof of SAM-transport-activity are subject of current investigations. Esterification of glucuronic acid residues with methyl-groups in plant pectins is another SAM-dependent process taking place in the Golgi (53). Indeed, plant homologues of SAMT-1 are found encoded in plant genomes and may represent essential players for this common modification. SAM-dependent methyltransferases acting on animal glycans are still to be identified as to date only few bacterial and plant examples are known. The toxicity of methylation-specific Lb-Tec2 towards C. elegans may provide a tool for the identification of these enzymes in invertebrates.

O-methylated glycans have been reported in different phyla including bacteria (mainly as part of their LPS), fungi, amoebae, algae, plants, nematodes and snails (54). Interestingly, methylated glycans have so far not been reported for insects or vertebrates. Methylation of glycans may, however, be more widespread than currently anticipated as this modification cannot be detected when applying permethylation protocols during glycan analysis. Binding of Lb-Tec2 and its homologues to sepharose is in accordance with reports of partially methylated galactose and galactans (2-O-, 4-O- and 6-O-methyl-galactose residues as well as 3,6-anhydro-2-O-methyl-L-galactose) in this polysaccharide isolated from red seaweed (55).

So far only few proteins recognizing a specific modification of a glycan have been described, all of which have endogenous roles: P-type lectin recognizes terminal Man-6-P and has an important role in lysosomal targeting of glycoproteins (56).
whereas Langerin binds to Gal-6-SO₄ in sulfated proteoglycans and is involved in
the modulation of innate immunity (57). To our knowledge, fungal Lb-Tec2 is the first
example of a defense effector protein recognizing a specific modification of a
glycan. The broad distribution of O-methylated glycans makes this epitope a perfect
target of an innate immune system as it allows a single type of effector to cope with
a diversity of antagonists.
Materials and Methods

Strains and cultivation conditions

*Escherichia coli* strain DH5α was used for cloning and plasmid amplification. For protein purification and biotoxicity assays proteins were expressed in *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) and *E. coli* ArcticExpress(DE3). *E. coli* strains O8:K−, O8−:K+, O9a:K+, and O9a−:K− were kindly provided by Chris Whitfield (14, 15). *E. coli* was cultivated on LB medium as described (16). *Caenorhabditis elegans* strains were grown on NGM plates or in liquid medium as described previously (17). Nematodes were harvested by sedimentation, washed with S-basal and stored at -80 °C. The Bristol isolate N2 was used as the wild-type strain. Strains with genotypes pmk-1(km25), bre-3(ye26), aman-2(tm1078), fut-1(ok892), fut-2(gk360), fut-2(ok509), fut-3(gk103), fut-4(gk111), fut-6(ok475), fut-8(ok2558) were obtained from the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center (CGC) at the University of Minnesota (USA). The double mutants fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892), fut-6(ok475);fut-8(ok2558), fut-1(ok892);fut-8(ok2558) and hex-3(tm2725)hex-2(tm2530) were kindly provided by Iain Wilson. The triple mutant gly-14(id48);gly-12(id47)gly-13(ok712) was kindly provided by Harry Schachter. The triple mutant fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892);pmk-1(km25) was generated by standard genetic crossing. Strains with genotype pmk-1(km25)bre-1(op509), ger-1(op499);pmk-1(km25), pmk-1(km25);galt-1(op497) were generated by Mos1-mutagenesis of pmk-1(km25) worms as described in (18). The samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25) mutant was generated in this study by Mos1-mutagenesis as previously described (18).

Cloning and expression

The open reading frame encoding for Lb-Tec2 was amplified from genomic DNA of *L. bicolor* strain S238N using the primers TectNdeIfwd GGGGGGATATGCCTTGGAAAGGAATCTCTCTGG and TectSalIrev GGGGGGGTCGACCTAATCACGAATCCAACGGTAAATACC. The resulting fragment was ligated into the pET24a vector (Invitrogen) using the introduced restriction sites. For bacterial expression *E. coli* BL21(DE3) transformed with the pET24-Lb-Tec2 construct was grown in LB medium supplemented with 50 µg/ml Kanamycin. Expression was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at an OD_{600} of 1.0 and the culture was incubated at 23 °C for 16 h.
cDNA encoding for limulus lectin L6 (7) was ordered from GenScript™ with two introduced restriction sites, a NdeI site at the 5'-end and NotI site at the 3'-end. Using these restriction sites, the cDNA was cloned into the pET24a expression vector (Invitrogen). For expression of proteins in *E. coli* ArcticExpress (DE3) (Invitrogen), cells were transformed with either pET24a-L6 or pET24a-Lb-Tec2 and grown on LB according to manufacturer’s protocol. Expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 for 24 h at 10°C. Expression and solubility of recombinant proteins was checked as previously described (19).

**Purification of recombinant Lb-Tec2**

For purification of recombinant Lb-Tec2, induced cells were collected by centrifugation, washed with water and shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The bacteria were resuspended in 0.1 M HEPES buffer pH 7.5 containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and lysed in a French Press (SLM Aminco; SLM instruments, Inc. UK). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation (30 min, 18000 rpm, 4 °C) and the supernatant was applied to a Sepharose CL-6B (GE Healthcare) column equilibrated with 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5. After binding for 1 h at 4 °C the flow-through was collected and the column was washed with 10 column volumes of 0.1 M HEPES pH 7.5. Bound Lb-Tec2 was eluted with 0.4 M GlcNAc (Alfa Aesar GmbH) in HEPES buffer and desalted on a PD-10 column (Amersham Biosciences) or by dialysis. Purity of the protein was verified by SDS-PAGE and the protein concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 280 nm taking into account the extinction coefficient of Lb-Tec2. The purified protein was stable in HEPES pH 7.5 and Tris pH 8.

**C. elegans biotoxicity assays**

Liquid toxicity assays with *C. elegans* feeding on *E. coli* BL21(DE3) or *E. coli* ArcticExpress(D3) expressing Lb-Tec2, limulus lectin L6 or containing the empty vector were performed as described (20). Fractions were calculated from five independent experiments.

**TAMRA-labeling of Lb-Tec2 and fluorescence microscopy of C. elegans**

Purified Lb-Tec2 was labeled with TAMRA succinimidyl ester or Alexa Fluor 488 5-SDP ester (Molecular Probes) according to manufacturer's instructions and desalted.
on a PD-10 column (Amersham Biosciences). Nematodes were harvested from NGM plates, washed with S-basal (17) and incubated for 10 min in 50 µl of S-basal containing 2 µg/ml TAMRA-labeled protein in the dark. Worms were washed three times with S-basal for 10 min each, anesthetized with Levamisol [10 mM] and transferred to a glass slide coated with 1% agarose for microscopy. Phase contrast and fluorescence images were acquired on a Zeiss AxioPhot microscope using Axiovision 4.8 with a 10x or 20x objective.

**Protein extraction from C. elegans**

Three hundred milligrams of nematodes were resuspended in 300 µl of ice-cold extraction buffer (PBS containing 1% NP-40 and Roche proteinase inhibitor cocktail) in a 2 ml screw cap tube. 200 µl of acid washed 0.5 mm glass beads (Sigma Aldrich) were added and worms were disrupted in a FastPrep Tissue and Cell Homogenizer (three times 45 s at level 6, cooled on ice in between). Samples were incubated for 30 min at 4 °C on a rotating wheel before collecting the supernatant by centrifugation for 15 min at 12000 x g (4 °C).

**Enzymatic removal of N-glycans from C. elegans proteins**

*C. elegans* proteins were extracted as described above, denatured and digested with PNGase F (New England BioLabs) according to manufacturer's instructions. Samples with and without PNGase F were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C shaking.

**Biotinylation of Lb-Tec2 and lectin blot**

Purified Lb-Tec2 was biotinylated with EZ Link Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin (Pierce) according to manufacturer’s instructions and desalted on a PD-10 column (Amersham Biosciences). For lectin blots protein extracts were separated on a 7% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by semi-dry blotting. The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA in PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-T) for 16 h at 4 °C. After rinsing with PBS-T the membrane was incubated with biotinylated Lb-Tec2 in PBS-T at a concentration of 5 µg/ml for 1 h at room temperature. A negative control was incubated in PBS-T without lectin. The membrane was washed three times for 20 min with PBS-T before incubation with streptavidin-linked alkaline phosphatase. This solution was obtained by diluting Reagent A and B of a Vectastain® ABC-alkaline phosphatase kit (AK-5000, Vector
laboratories) 150 fold in PBS-T. After 1 h incubation at room temperature the membrane was washed three times for 5 min in PBS-T. Finally the membrane was overlaid with BCIP/NBT substrate (B1911, Sigma Aldrich) and developed to the desired intensity. The color reaction was stopped with two washes in water and the membrane was air-dried. SDS-PAGE gels were stained by Coomassie Blue or EZBlue (Sigma-Aldrich). Silver staining was performed as described (21).

**Bacterial agglutination assay**

For assays shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S7, *E. coli* strains O8:K*, O8*:K*, O9a:K*, and O9a*:K* were grown in LB medium at 37 °C to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.7 to 1, washed with PBS and resuspended to an OD₆₀₀ of 2.5. Agglutination assays were performed in a U-shaped 96-well micro titer plate. Ten µl of bacteria were mixed with 40 µl of Lb-Tec2 at a final protein concentration of 15 to 500 µg/ml. BSA was used as a negative control. Images were taken after 10 to 20 min incubation at room temperature using a Leica MZ 125 stereomicroscope.

For assays shown in Fig. 7, 50 ml culture of *E. coli* ArcticExpress (DE3) expressing Lb-Tec2, Limulus lectin L6 or containing an empty vector pET24a plasmid were washed in 0.1 M HEPES buffer pH=7.5 supplemented with 0.15 M NaCl and resuspended in 1ml to an OD₆₀₀ of 20. Cells were lysed with 1 g 0.5 mm glass beads, in four consecutive homogenization steps with a FastPrep FP120 for 45 s at 6.5 m/s. The homogenate was centrifuged at 12000 x g for 30 min and 40 µl of the supernatant was mixed with 10 µl of bacteria. ArcticExpress (DE3) harboring an empty vector pET24a plasmid and 0.1 M HEPES buffer pH = 7.5 served as negative controls. Images were taken after 1 h incubation at room temperature using a Leica MZ 125 stereomicroscope.

**Analysis of *C. elegans* N- and O-glycans**

Details on the isolation and the analysis of *C. elegans* N- and O-glycans by mass spectrometry are described in the Supporting Information.

**Monosaccharide analysis of *C. elegans* N-glycans**

Proteins were extracted from 150 mg of nematodes with 150 µl of extraction buffer as described above. To precipitate proteins trichloroacetic acid was added to a final concentration of 10%. Samples were incubated for 5 min on ice before 5 min
centrifugation at 20000 x g at 4 °C. The pellet was washed with acetone (-20 °C) twice, dissolved in 700 µl of PBS pH 7.4 and proteins were digested with 1 mg/ml trypsin (Sigma) at 37 °C for 16 h shaking. For release of N-glycans samples were acidified with sodium acetate buffer to pH 5 to 6. Three microliters of PNGase A (Roche Diagnostics) were added and samples were incubated at 37 °C for 16 h shaking. For purification of glycans a C18 cartridge (C18 Sep Pack, Waters) was placed on top of a column packed with 250 µl of ENVI-Carb 120/400 resin (Sigma-Aldrich). The combined columns were washed with 5 ml of methanol, 5 ml of acetonitrile, 5 ml of 50% acetonitrile (in water), and equilibrated with 10 ml of 2% acetonitrile. The sample was adjusted to 2% acetonitrile and loaded onto the columns. Columns were washed with 10 ml of 2% acetonitrile and glycans were eluted twice with 750 µl of 25% acetonitrile. The eluate was collected in a 1.5 ml screw-cap tube and the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The dried pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of ultra-pure water and 100 µl of freshly prepared 5 M trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were added. The tube was sealed with teflon tape, wrapped with aluminum foil, and incubated on a thermo-shaker (100 °C; 750 rpm) for 5 h. The solution was transferred to a new 1.5 ml screw-cap tube and TFA was evaporated under a stream of air at 45 °C. The residue was dissolved in 50 µl of 1% NaOAc and 50 µl of 2-AA labeling mix (30 mg/ml 2-aminobenzoic acid, 20 mg/ml sodium cyanoborohydride, 2.4% NaOAc, 2% boric acid in methanol) was added. The tube was sealed with teflon tape and wrapped with aluminum foil. After incubation on a thermo-shaker (80 °C; 750 rpm) for 1 h, the sample was cooled to room temperature, diluted to 1 ml with eluent A (0.3% 1-amino butane, 0.5% phosphoric acid, 1% tetrahydrofuran in water) and passed through a 0.45 µm filter. Samples were finally diluted 20-fold in eluent A and 90 µl were loaded on a C18 column (YMC, C18 ODS-A, 5 µm particle size, 12 nm pore size, 46x150 mm). The following elution program was used: 0-35 min 6% eluent B (50% eluent A, 50% acetonitrile), 35-90 min linear gradient 6-25% B; 90-115 min 100% B; 115-130 min 6% B; detection parameters were: excitation 360 nm, emission 425 nm. The abundance of individual monosaccharides was calculated as follows: the corresponding peak area in the HPLC chromatogram was determined using the software Chromeleon™ and devided by the total peak area of all monosaccharides identified. Ratios were calculated from four independent experiments.
Microcalorimetry
Isothermal titration calorimetry was performed on a Microcal ITC200 (General Electric) and the data was analyzed using the Microcal Origin software. Lb-Tec2 (130 - 328 μM) in HEPES buffer (0.1 M HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, pH = 7.5, 0.1% NaN₃) was placed in the sample cell at 25 °C. Details on the individual titrations are described in the Supporting Information.

Phylogenetic analysis
Amino acid sequences of *L. bicolor* Tectonin 2 homologues were retrieved from NCBI. Sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (22), multiple sequence alignments were curated applying Gblocks (23), a phylogenetic tree was constructed ProtDist/FastDist + Neighbor (PHYLIP) (24) with 1000 bootstrap replicates under the Jones-Taylor-Thornton matrix and tree rendering was performed with TreeDyn (25). All Software was used at the Phylogeny.fr server (26). Domain prediction was performed with SMART (27, 28). Tectonin domains were aligned by MUSCLE and the multiple sequence alignment was edited using Jalview (29). Structure prediction was performed on the PHYRE2 server (30). Distribution of Tectonin proteins among fungi was analyzed using BLAST on the JGI fungal genome portal (31).

Chemical synthesis
General and specific experimental details to the chemical synthesis of the various allyl mannosides and fucosides used in this study are described in the Supporting Information.

Statistical analysis
The statistical significance of the toxicity assays and the monosaccharide analysis was evaluated by pairwise comparisons using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U* test.
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Figure legends

**Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of Tectonin proteins.** (A) Phylogenetic tree of previously characterized Tectonins (marked with an asterisk) and selected animal, fungal and bacterial homologues. GI numbers of the analyzed protein sequences are listed in SI Appendix, Table S1. Numbers at the nodes indicate the level of confidence for the branches as determined by bootstrap analysis. Branches with confidence lower than 0.6 were collapsed. (B) Domain architecture of selected Tectonins predicted by SMART. Tectonin-domains are depicted in green. Dark green boxes below the schemes indicate the domains used for the alignment in panel C. DysFN: Dysferlin domain, N-terminal region. DysFC: Dysferlin domain, C-terminal region, pink box: low complexity region, red box: signal sequence for classical secretory pathway. (C) Multiple sequence alignment of a selected Tectonin-domain of the proteins included in the phylogenetic tree (panel A). The location of the aligned domain within the protein is indicated by the positions of the flanking amino acids. The color of specific amino acid residues indicates the degree of conservation.

**Figure 2. Carbohydrate-binding dependent toxicity of Lb-Tec2 towards C. elegans.** (A) Development of C. elegans wild-type (N2) and various glycosylation mutants feeding on Lb-Tec2 expressing E. coli (dark grey) or empty pET24 vector containing E. coli (light grey) (n = 5). Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean. Comparisons between Lb-Tec2 and vector control for each C. elegans strain were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test (** P < 0.01). (B) Development of C. elegans pmk-1(km25) strain (wildtype) and various glycosylation mutants with pmk-1(km25) background feeding on Lb-Tec2 expressing E. coli (dark grey) or empty pET24 vector containing E. coli (light grey) (n = 5). Characteristics of the studied mutants are summarized in SI Appendix, Table S3. Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean. Comparisons between Lb-Tec2 and vector control for each C. elegans strain were performed using the Mann–Whitney U test (** P < 0.01) (C) Binding of TAMRA-Lb-Tec2 to the cuticle of C. elegans pmk-1(km25) (WT) and samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25) (samt-1). Scale bars indicate 60 μm.
Figure 3. Binding of Lb-Tec2 to *C. elegans* N-glycans. (A) Silver-stained SDS-PAGE gel and lectin blot of protein extracts from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* (wt) and *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* (samt-1). (B) Silver-stained SDS-PAGE gel and lectin blot of protein extracts from *C. elegans fut-6(ok475)fut-1(ok892)* after PNGase F digest. Dash indicates negative control not incubated with the lectin. Asterisks indicate unspecific bands. m: marker.

Figure 4. MALDI-TOF spectra of deuteromethylated wild-type and mutant *C. elegans* N-glycans released by PNGase A digestion. N-Glycans were released from *C. elegans* tryptic glycopeptides by digestion with PNGase A after an initial PNGase F digest (see also SI Appendix, Fig. S5). Released glycans were deuteromethylated prior to analysis, all molecular ions are [M+Na]+. Structural assignments are based on monosaccharide composition, MS/MS fragmentation analyses and knowledge of the glycan biosynthetic pathways. (A) N-glycans released from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* (WT), m/z 2000-3000. (B) N-glycans released from *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)*, m/z 1990-2020. (C) N-glycans released from *C. elegans samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* (samt-1), m/z 2000-3000. (D) N-glycans released from *C. elegans samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)*, m/z 1990-2020.

Figure 5. Monosaccharide composition of N-glycans from Lb-Tec2-sensitive and -resistant *C. elegans* strains. N-glycans from *C. elegans* N2, *pmk-1(km25)* (pmk-1), *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25)* (samt-1, pmk-1) , *pmk-1(km25)bre-1(op509)* (bre-1, pmk-1) and *ger-1(op499);pmk-1(km25)* (pmk-1, ger-1), were isolated and analyzed for their monosaccharide composition by HPLC (n = 4). Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean. Comparisons between *C. elegans pmk-1(km25)* and each mutant strain for each monosaccharide were performed using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney *U* test (* P < 0.05). GlcN: glucosamine (GlcNAc is deacetylated during analysis).

Figure 6. *In vitro* binding of Lb-Tec2 to O-methylated mannose and fucose. Indicated non-methylated and O-methylated (Me) allyl(All)-mannoside (Man) and -fucoside (Fuc) were tested for binding to purified Lb-Tec2 by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Errors indicate deviation of three independent titrations.
Figure 7. Conservation of O-methylation-dependent bacterial agglutination and nematotoxicity between Lb-Tec2 and limulus lectin L6. A. Soluble extract of *E. coli* ArcticExpress expressing either Lb-Tec2 (as positive control) or L6 was added to *E. coli* O9a:K+, 08:K-, 08—:K- in a 96 well plate. The soluble extract of *E. coli* ArcticExpress harbouring the empty vector plasmid and HEPES buffer, were used as negative controls. B. Larval development of *C. elegans pmk-1(km25) (WT)* and *samt-1(op532)pmk-1(km25) (samt-1)* was assayed as previously described but using *E. coli* ArcticExpress containing the empty vector (pET24a) or expressing Lb-Tec2 (pET24-Lb-Tec2) or limulus lectin L6 (pET24-L6) as food (*n* = 5). Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean. Comparisons between the two *C. elegans* strains for each plasmid-containing bacterium were performed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test (** *P* < 0.01).
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Development of *C. elegans* (N2 background)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>pET24</th>
<th>pET24-Lb-Tec2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>faa-3(ok599)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>faa-1(ok602)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>faa-3(ok602)/faa-3(ok602)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brt-3(ok26)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brt-3(ok26)/brt-3(ok26)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spr-1(ok606)/spr-1(ok606)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spr-1(ok606)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spr-1(ok606)/spr-1(ok606)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amln-2(tm1078s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amln-2(tm1078s)/amln-2(tm1078s)</td>
<td></td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

Development of *C. elegans* (pmk-1 background)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>pET24</th>
<th>pET24-Lb-Tec2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wildtype</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sartm-1(op382)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sartm-1(op382)/sartm-1(op382)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gcr-1(op389)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gcr-1(op389)/gcr-1(op389)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brt-1(op508)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brt-1(op508)/brt-1(op508)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galt-1(op97)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>galt-1(op97)/galt-1(op97)</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C

**TAMRA-Lb-Tec2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td><img src="WT.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>samt-1</td>
<td><img src="samt-1.jpg" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. $K_d = \text{n.b.}$

3. $K_d = 20.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ mM}$

5. $K_d = 74.9 \text{ mM}$

8. $K_c = 4.4 \pm 1.5 \text{ mM}$

9. $K_d = 20.6 \text{ mM}$
A

E. coli 09a:K- 08: K- 08-: K-

HEPES buffer

pET24

pET24-Lb-Tec2

pET24-L6

B

Development of C. elegans (pmk-1 background)

Fraction of worms reaching L4

WT  samt-1

pET24  pET24-Lb-Tec2  pET24-L6