1Biofilm transplantation in the deep sea

2Irene Wagner-Döbler

3Helmholz-Centre for Infection Research (HZI), Inhoffenstr. 7, 39124 Braunschweig, Germany 4Phone +49-531-6181-3080. Email lrene.Wagner-Doebler@helmholtz-hzi.de

6A gold rush is currently going on in microbial ecology which is powered by the possibility to determine 7the full complexity of microbial communities through next generation sequencing. Accordingly, enormous 8efforts are underway to describe microbiomes worldwide, in humans, animals, plants, soil, air, and the 9ocean. While much can be learned from these studies, only experiments will finally unravel mechanisms. 10One of the key questions is how a microbial community is assembled from a pool of bacteria in the 11environment, and how it responds to change – be it the increase in CO₂ concentration in the ocean, or 12antibiotic treatment of the gut microbiome. The study by Zhang et al. (Zhang et al. 2015) in this issue is 13one of the very few that approaches this problem experimentally in the natural environment. The 14authors selected a habitat which is both extremely interesting and difficult to access. They studied the 15Thuwall Seep in the Red Sea at 850 m depth, and used a remotely operated vehicle (ROV) to place a steel 16frame carrying substrata for biofilm growth into the brine pool and into the adjacent normal bottom 17water (NBW). Biofilms were allowed to develop for three days, and then those that had been growing in 18the brine pool were transported to normal bottom water and stayed there for another three days, and 19vice versa. The "switched" biofilms were then compared with their source communities by metagenome 20sequencing. Strikingly, both "switched" biofilms were now dominated by the same two species. These 21species were able to cope with conditions in both source ecosystems, as shown by assembly of their 22genomes and detection of expression of key genes. The biofilms had adapted to environmental change, 23rather than to brine pools or NBW. The study shows both the resilience and adaptability of biofilm 24communities and has implications for microbial ecology in general and even for therapeutic approaches 25such as transplantation of fecal microbiomes.

26Seeps and hydrothermal vents are regions in the ocean where fluids from deeper layers of the Earth's crust 27reach the seabed due to pressure gradients or tectonic activity, often at continental margins. While the 28water at hydrothermal vents can be above 100°C, cold seeps do not exhibit temperature anomalies. Both 29are islands of diversity and productivity in an otherwise oligotrophic deep sea environment, because the 30expelled fluids contain high concentrations of metals that are transformed into biomass by 31chemoautotrophic bacteria. Those bacteria, which form intimate symbioses with metazoans, are the basis 32of the food-chain, resulting in highly specialized and diverse metazoan vent communities (Dubilier *et al.* 332008). Deep sea vents are the only habitats on Earth which are powered by chemosynthesis rather than 34photosynthesis (Jannasch *et al.* 1985).

35The Thuwal Seeps have been discovered during a cruise of the research vessel Aegaeo in 2011 (Batang et~al. 362012). They are located on the continental margin of the Red Sea at a depth of 840 – 850 m (Fig. 1A). The 37brine pool covers an area of 2.2 km². It does not exhibit a significant thermal anomaly (<0.3%) and is the 38coldest (21.7°C) and least saline (74%) among brine pools in the Red Sea. It has a rich metazoan fauna and 39outlet rims of the site are densely covered with bacterial mats.

40The authors studied the response of biofilms to sudden environmental change. Biofilms are communities of 41microorganisms that develop attached to a solid substratum (Dang *et al.* 2016) (Fig. 1B). In a biofilm, the

42bacteria are embedded in extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) that they excrete and that are commonly 43known as slime. Biofilms protect bacteria from adverse influences like antibiotics. They have been described 44as "cities of microorganisms" because of the complexity of interactions, which include cross-feeding 45between different species and cell-cell communication through quorum sensing (Sztajer *et al.* 2014).

46The deep sea biofilms studied here were grown in two contrasting environments: The brine pond had 74% 47salinity and more than 10x higher concentrations of metals than the NBW. In the NBW salinity was normal 48and the concentration of organic carbon was 100x higher than in the brine pond. Those two environments 49formed a meta-community, i.e. it was possible for bacteria to migrate from one to the other. After three 50days of growth on six different types of substrate, the authors transplanted (switched) biofilms from the 51brine pond to the normal bottom water (S-NBW) and from the normal bottom water to the brine pond (S-52brine) (Figure 1C and D).

53Interestingly, the switched communities were different to both source communities. The S-brine 54community and the S-NBW community adapted to the new environment, but they also kept some 55characteristics of the previous biofilm composition. Even more interestingly, both switched communities 56were now dominated by the same two species that had not been extremely dominant in the source 57communities. Those two strains were apparently able to cope with conditions in both systems. Their 58genomes were assembled from the metagenomes. *Marinobacter* sp. was a heterotroph that had many 59genes for heavy metal transport and resistance to oxidative stress. *Oleispira* sp. was also a heterotroph and 60had the potential to use nitrate as an electron acceptor.

61The analysis of genomes can only show the potential of a microorganism, but not what it actually does. To 62demonstrate that in fact those pathways were operating in the biofilms under the respective conditions in 63the deep sea, the authors investigated changes in the expression of key genes using q-RT-PCR (quantitative 64PCR of reverse transcribed mRNA). They applied primers designed specifically for *Marinobacter* sp. and 65*Oleispira* sp. and observed an up-regulation of amino-acid metabolism related genes in the S-NBW biofilms 66for both microorganisms, while they found up-regulation of oxidative stress related genes in the S-brine 67biofilms, again for both species.

68What does it all mean? The biofilms responded to the transplantation by modifying their composition in a 69process termed species sorting. Species sorting occurs when bacteria form a community by selection of a 70subset of species from a pool of species according to local abiotic and biotic conditions. It is a new term for 71the old paradigm "everything is everywhere – but: The environment selects (Baas Becking 1934). The pool 72of species might theoretically be all bacteria that are on the planet (Gibbons *et al.* 2013) or, more 73conservatively, all species that are forming the meta-community. But how is the selection of species 74controlled, which rules can be recognized for species sorting? The striking observation in the experiments 75reported by Zhang *et al.* is that biofilms that were subject to sudden environmental change selected for 76generalists that were able to cope with both environments. The biofilm communities adapted not so much 77to brine or NBW, but to the problem of fluctuating conditions. It will be most interesting to determine if this 78resilience is a specific characteristic of biofilm communities or if it is also observed in non-sessile microbial 79communities. Moreover, it would be interesting to investigate how it is dependent on the frequency and 80amplitude of change. In such a way the experiment conducted in the depths of the Red Sea provided results 81relevant for the whole of microbial ecology.

82

83

3

2

4

84Figure Legend

85**Thuwall Seeps and experimental design**. A Thuwall Seeps. The location of the brine pools in the Red Sea 86axial zone is shown by blue shade. Seeps are shown in red. Thuwall Seeps I and II and Thuwal Seeps brine 87pool are shown in insets on the right superimposed on depth contours. (Adapted from Batang *et al.* 2012, 88with permission). The work by Zhang *et al.* was performed in Thuwall seeps II.

89B Holder with carousels carrying substrates for biofilm growth. Left, side view, right, view from above. (From 90Zhang *et al.* 2014, with permission.)

91C Remotely operated vehicle (ROV) in the process of transporting the holder to the Thuwall Seep at 850 m 92depth in the Red Sea. (Image kindly provided by Weipeng Zhang).

93D Interface between brine (br) and seawater (sw) on the eastern part of the brine pool. (Adapted from 94Batang *et al.* 2012, with permission).

95

96Reference List

97Baas Becking LGM. Geobiologie of inleiding tot de milieukunde. The Hague, the Netherlands: W.P. Van 98Stockum & Zoon. 1934.

99Batang ZB, Papathanassiou E, I-Suwailem A *et al.* (2012) First discovery of a cold seep on the continental 100margin of the central Red Sea. *J Mar Sys*, **94**, 247-253.

101Dang H, Lovell CR (2016) Microbial Surface Colonization and Biofilm Development in Marine Environments. 102*Microbiol.Mol.Biol.Rev.*, **80**, 91-138.

103Dubilier N, Bergin C, Lott C (2008) Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the art of harnessing 104chemosynthesis. *Nat.Rev.Microbiol.*, **6**, 725-740.

105Gibbons SM, Caporaso JG, Pirrung M *et al.* (2013) Evidence for a persistent microbial seed bank throughout 106the global ocean. *Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.*, **110**, 4651-4655.

107Jannasch HW, Mottl MJ (1985) Geomicrobiology of deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Science., 229, 717-725.

108Sztajer H, Szafranski SP, Tomasch J *et al.* (2014) Cross-feeding and interkingdom communication in dual-109species biofilms of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Candida albicans*. *ISME J*, **8**, 2256-2271.

110Zhang W, Tian R, Yang B *et al.* (2015) Environmental switching during biofilm development in a cold seep 111system and functional determinants of species sorting. *Mol.Ecol.*, **XX**, XXXX.

112

113