

Title: Hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy for IFN γ R1 deficiency protects mice from mycobacterial infections

Authors: ^{1,2}Miriam Hetzel*, ^{1,2}Adele Mucci*, ³Patrick Blank*, ^{1,4}Ariane Hai Ha Nguyen, ^{1,4}Jan Schiller, ⁵Olga Halle, ⁶Mark-Philipp Kühnel, ⁷Sandra Billig, ^{1,4}Robert Meineke, ¹Daniel Brand, ⁸Vanessa Herder, ⁸Wolfgang Baumgärtner, ⁷Franz-Christoph Bange, ⁹Ralph Goethe, ⁶Danny Jonigk, ⁵Reinhold Förster, ¹⁰Bernhard Gentner, ^{11,12,14,15,16}Jean-Laurent Casanova, ^{11,12,13,14}Jacinta Bustamante, ^{1,17}Axel Schambach, ³Ulrich Kalinke, ^{1,4}Nico Lachmann

Affiliations: ¹Institute of Experimental Hematology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
²RG Reprogramming and Gene Therapy, REBIRTH Cluster-of Excellence, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
³Institute for Experimental Infection Research, TWINCORE, Centre for Experimental and Clinical Infection Research, a Joint Venture between the Hannover Medical School and the Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Hannover, Germany.
⁴JRG Translational Hematology of Congenital Diseases, REBIRTH Cluster-of Excellence, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
⁵Institute of Immunology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
⁶Institute for Pathology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
⁷Institute of Medical Microbiology and Hospital Epidemiology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany.
⁸Department of Pathology, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany.
⁹Institute for Microbiology, Department of Infectious Diseases, University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover, Hannover, Germany.
¹⁰San Raffaele Telethon Institute for Gene Therapy (TIGET), Scientific Institute HS Raffaele, Milan, Italy.
¹¹Laboratory of Human Genetics of Infectious Diseases, Necker Branch, INSERM U1163, Necker Hospital for Sick Children, Paris, France, EU.
¹²Paris Descartes University, Imagine Institute, Paris, France, EU.
¹³Center for the Study of Primary Immunodeficiencies, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris AP-HP, Necker Hospital for Sick Children, Paris, France, EU
¹⁴St. Giles Laboratory of Human Genetics of Infectious Diseases, Rockefeller Branch, The Rockefeller University, NY, USA
¹⁵ Howard Hughes Medical Institute, NY, USA
¹⁶Pediatric Hematology-Immunology Unit, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris AP-HP, Necker Hospital for Sick Children, Paris, France, EU
¹⁷Division of Hematology/Oncology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

*These authors contributed equally to the work

Abstract word count: 200

Text word count: 3.999

Number of figures: 6 main figures + 4 supplemental figures

Tables: 0

Number of references: 55

Key words: Hematopoiesis, HSC, Gene Therapy, Macrophages, MSMD, IFN γ R1, BCG

Running title: Gene therapy for complete IFN γ R1 deficiency

Corresponding author:

PD Dr. Nico Lachmann
Institute of Experimental Hematology
Hannover Medical School
Carl-Neuberg-Str.1
D-30625 Hannover
Phone: +49 511-532-5266
E-Mail: lachmann.nico@mh-hannover.de

Key points:

1. Hematopoietic cell and gene therapy can prevent *in vivo* infections by *Mycobacteria spp.* using different SIN lentiviral vectors

2. Cellular repair of macrophages *in vivo* highlights phagocytes as key players in the disease progression of MSMD

Abstract

Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease (MSMD) is a rare primary immunodeficiency, characterized by severe infections caused by weakly virulent mycobacteria. Bi-allelic null mutations in genes encoding interferon gamma (IFN γ) receptor 1 or -2 (*IFNGR1*, *IFNGR2*) result in a life-threatening disease phenotype in early childhood. Recombinant IFN γ therapy is inefficient and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) has a poor prognosis. Thus, we developed a HSC gene therapy approach using lentiviral vectors expressing *Ifn γ 1* either constitutively or myeloid-specifically. Transduction of mouse *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} HSCs led to stable IFN γ R1 expression on macrophages, which rescued their cellular responses to IFN γ . As a consequence, genetically corrected HSC-derived macrophages were able to suppress T-cell activation and showed restored anti-mycobacterial activity against *Mycobacterium avium* and *Mycobacterium bovis* Bacillus-Calmette-Guérin (BCG) *in vitro*. Transplantation of genetically corrected HSC into *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice prior BCG infection prevented manifestations of severe BCG disease and maintained lung and spleen organ integrity, which was accompanied by a reduced mycobacterial burden in lung and spleen and a prolonged overall survival of transplanted animals. In summary, we demonstrate an HSC-based gene therapy approach for IFN γ R1 deficiency, which protects mice from severe mycobacterial infections, thereby laying the foundation for a new therapeutic intervention in the corresponding human patients.

Introduction

Hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy (HSGCT) is a promising approach for the treatment of a growing number of primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs). To date, numerous clinical trials are underway in order to improve the quality of life for patients suffering from severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID-X1 or ADA-SCID), Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (WAS), or Chronic Granulomatous Disease (CGD) ¹⁻⁴. Improvements in vector design and the use of safety improved self-inactivating (SIN) lentiviral vectors, have laid the foundation for today's new generation of HSC-based gene therapy ⁵. Taken the aforementioned success, HSGCT may be extended to hematopoietic diseases in which the impaired blood and tissue leukocytes are unable to fight mycobacterial infections.

Along this line, bi-allelic mutations in *IFNGR1* have been associated from 1996 onward with a selective predisposition to severe infections by weakly virulent mycobacteria, including BCG vaccines and environmental mycobacteria (EM). This condition is known as Mendelian Susceptibility to Mycobacterial Disease (MSMD) (OMIM #209950) ^{6,7}. Mutations in several genes involved in the cross-talk between the myeloid and lymphoid cells that orchestrate IFN γ -mediated immunity have been described in patients with MSMD. As a consequence, tissue macrophages cannot destroy mycobacteria normally. MSMD-causing genes control either the production of IFN- γ (*IL12B*, *IL12RB1*, *TYK2*, *IRF8*, *ISG15*, *NEMO*) or the response to IFN γ (*IFNGR1*, *IFNGR2*, *STAT1*, *IRF8*, *CYBB*) ⁸. The clinical features depend on the genotype.

The onset of MSMD in patients with the two most severe forms, autosomal recessive (AR) complete IFN γ R1 and IFN γ R2 deficiency, is typically before 3 years of age and is characterized by disseminated and persistent or recurrent infections by one or more mycobacterial species (e.g. BCG, *M. chelonae*, *M. avium*), which are life threatening ⁸⁻¹³. Conservative treatment options for all patients primarily rely on vigorous treatment of mycobacterial infections by antibiotics. IFN γ substitution therapy can only restore macrophage function in patients whose cellular responses to IFN γ are intact or impaired but not abolished. Indeed, patients suffering from AR and complete IFN γ R1 (OMIM #209950) or IFN γ R2 (OMIM #107470) deficiency do not respond to IFN γ therapy ⁸. Together, these two defects have a prevalence of around 1/100,000 births worldwide and have been found in most ethnicities. As of yet, 36 patients from 30 families have been described in the literature, while probably many more have been diagnosed ^{8,14-19}.

In patients suffering from complete IFN γ R1 or IFN γ R2 deficiency, antibiotics cannot be discontinued and allogeneic HSCT (alloHSCT) is the only curative treatment ²⁰⁻²⁴.

However, substantial side effects such as recurrent infections during the transplantation scenario or even graft rejection still considerably limit the success of alloHSCT to treat AR complete IFN γ R1 or IFN γ R2 deficiency. The unusually high graft rejection is associated with high IFN γ serum levels present in IFN γ R-deficient MSMD patients, as their cells are not able to clear the IFN γ from the blood ²⁵. This negative effect can even be exacerbated if IFN γ is produced by alloreactive T cells in the donor graft ²⁶. As a consequence, IFN γ may interfere with the cell cycle of donor HSCs or induce cell death by up-regulating Fas ligand in combination with TNF α ²⁶⁻³⁰. With 17 reported deaths out of 36 patients, the overall prognosis of HSCT for patients suffering from IFN γ R1 and IFN γ R2 deficiency is poor, highlighting the need for improved therapeutic approaches.

As current forms of treatment are limited, we here envision to establish a HSCGT approach to restore the anti-mycobacterial function in hematopoietic cells *in vivo*. This approach would prevent the risks of graft rejection and graft versus host disease that are inherent to HSCT. To achieve this aim, we used lentiviral vector technology to correct the cellular response in the hematopoietic system to IFN γ and investigated the ability of genetically corrected macrophages to clear mycobacteria *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Our findings suggest that restored expression of IFN γ R1 on macrophages is directly linked to the cellular repair of these cells and highlight a new cell-based treatment approach for the clinical condition of MSMD.

Methods

Design of lentiviral vectors

Ifn γ 1 cDNA was introduced into third generation SIN lentiviral vectors downstream of either a spleen focus forming virus (SFFV) promoter (Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1*), a miR223 promoter (Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ 1*), or a synthetic, myeloid-specific SP-146/gp91 promoter (Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1*) and all constructs were also coupled with a green fluorescent protein (GFP) through an IRES.

Cultivation of cells

All cell lines and primary cells were kept under standard culture conditions at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ and 95% humidity. RAW264.7 cells were kept in 6-well adherent plates. Cells were kept in high glucose DMEM Medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (both PAA).

Mice

B6.SJL-Ptprca-Pep3b/BoyJZtm (Ly5.1; CD45.1) mice were used as wild-type (WT) controls and B6.129S7-*Ifngr1*^{tm1Agt/J} (*Ifn γ 1*^{-/-}) harboring a knockout in the *Ifn γ 1* gene served as a disease model of MSMD. Mice were housed in the central animal facility of Hannover Medical School under specific-pathogen-free conditions in individually ventilated cages (IVC). Mice had had access to food and water *ad libitum*. All animal experiments were approved by the lower Saxony State animal welfare committee and were conducted according to the animal welfare law.

Isolation, cultivation and transduction of lineage negative cells

Lineage negative cells were isolated from total bone marrow using lineage cell depletion kit (Miltenyi) following the manufacturer's instructions. Pre-stimulation of lineage negative cells was performed for 24 hours in STIF medium (StemSpan medium supplemented with 1mM Penicillin/Streptomycin, 2mM L-glutamine, 100 ng/ml mSCF, 20 ng/ml TPO, 20 ng/ml IGF-2 and 100 ng/ml FGF2). Thereafter, transduction of lineage negative cells was performed on RetroNectin[®] (Takara) coated plates using STIF[®] medium and MOIs between 20 and 50 as previously described³¹

Bone marrow transplantation

Ifn γ 1^{-/-} recipient mice were irradiated with a single dose of 9 Gy. Lineage negative bone marrow cells of *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} or WT donor mice were isolated as described above and injected

intravenously into the tail vein of recipient mice 24 h post irradiation. Alternatively, lineage negative cells were transduced with SIN lentiviral vectors and transplanted by the same protocol. For some experiments, non-transplanted *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} served as negative controls (also indicated in the respective figure legend).

Pulmonary BCG infections

After reconstitution of the hematopoietic system (>6 weeks post transplantation), transplanted mice were infected by intratracheal application of 1×10^7 CFU DsRed-labeled BCG-Pasteur under general anesthesia using *i.p.* injection of Ketamin/Rompun.

Differentiation of lineage negative cells

Lineage negative cells were differentiated into macrophages for 7-15 days in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 1mM Penicillin/Streptomycin, and 30% of M-CSF supernatant produced by L929 cells as previously described^{31,32}

Mycobacterium avium

Intracellular killing of *M. avium* by macrophages was assessed as described in³³ with and without stimulation with IFN γ for 24 h. Macrophages were infected for 1 h with *M. avium* at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.1. Non-phagocytosed bacteria were removed by intense washing. At 1 and 24 h post infection cells were lysed using 0.1% Triton X100 in PBS and plated on Middlebrook 7H9 agar plates and incubated at 37°C. After 2 weeks colonies were counted.

BCG

Transduced lineage negative cells were sorted and differentiated into macrophages. 200,000 cells per condition were seeded. 24 h prior to infection the cells were washed twice with PBS to remove remaining antibiotics. Cells were stimulated with 50 ng/ml IFN γ in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells were infected with BCG at an MOI of 100 and incubated for 1 h to allow BCG uptake. Following that, 10 μ g/ml Gentamycin was added to remove all extracellular BCG. Cells were harvested and lysed 1 h and 24 h post infection by using a 24g canula to resuspend the cells 10 times. Lysates were plated at different dilutions on 7H10 plates and incubated for 2 weeks until the colonies were counted. CFU/ml values were then calculated.

IFN γ serum levels

Blood of mice was drawn from the retrobulbar vein plexus and cell free serum was collected by centrifugation in serum separation tubes. Serum IFN γ levels were determined using the eBioscience mouse IFN γ Ready-SET-Go! ELISA kit.

Light sheet microscopy

Light sheet microscopy was performed as described before ³⁴.

Histology

For histopathological investigation, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded lung tissue was sectioned at 2-3 μ m thickness, mounted on glass slides and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). For immunohistochemistry, a polyclonal rabbit anti-eGFP antibody (1:10,000, catalog no.: orb303312, Biorbyt) was used. A microwave pretreatment over 20 minutes with citrate buffer was applied for antigen retrieval. As negative control, the primary antibody was replaced by rabbit serum. A peroxidase-conjugated avidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories) and 3,3'-diaminobenzidine-tetrachloride in 0.1 M imidazole (Sigma-Aldrich) was used for visualization. Finally, sections were counterstained with Mayer's hematoxylin (Merck).

Results

Design of lentiviral vectors and safety studies in primary hematopoietic cells

In order to establish a HSCGT approach for IFN γ R1 deficiency, we made use of 3rd generation SIN vectors to express a murine *Ifn γ r1* cDNA from a spleen focus forming virus promoter/enhancer (SFFV) element. In order to assess transgene expression, we coupled the *Ifn γ r1* cDNA via an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) to an enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Lv.SFFV.If γ r1). The same lentiviral vector backbone was used to establish the control vector, expressing the GFP transgene directly under the control of the SFFV promoter (Lv.SFFV.GFP) (**Figure 1A**). As a first step, we evaluated vector-mediated transgene expression in the murine fibroblast cell line SC1, resulting in detectable levels of IFN γ R1 (CD119) in cell lysates and on the cell surface (**Figure S1A-C**). Transgene expression was next evaluated in lineage negative hematopoietic stem/progenitor cells (HSPCs) of mice which are deficient in *Ifn γ r1* (*Ifn γ r1*^{-/-}) and which faithfully recapitulate the MSMD phenotype upon infection with BCG^{25,35}. Transduction of lineage negative HSPCs revealed co-expression of GFP and IFN γ R1 in clear contrast to non-transduced *Ifn γ r1*^{-/-} control cells (**Figure 1B**). Of note, mean fluorescent intensity (MFI) values of CD119 indicated higher expression levels of IFN γ R1 in transduced *Ifn γ r1*^{-/-} cells compared to their wild type counterparts. As a next step, we followed a straight forward differentiation protocol using M-CSF to differentiate HSPCs towards macrophages. After seven days of differentiation, macrophages derived from Lv.SFFV.If γ r1-transduced *Ifn γ r1*^{-/-} HSPCs showed typical morphology on cytopins and surface marker expression of CD11b, CD200R, and F4/80, which was very much comparable to HSPC-derived macrophages from wild type or non-corrected *Ifn γ r1*^{-/-} cells (**Figure 1C and D and Figure S2**). Furthermore, stable transgene expression as well as normal rates of cell death and cell proliferation were observed in Lv.SFFV.If γ r1-transduced Raw 264.7 cells, further highlighting the functional expression of IFN γ R1 upon transduction of our lentiviral vector (**Figure S3A-C**).

*Phenotypic correction of IFN γ functionality upon constitutive *Ifn γ r1* overexpression*

After we evaluated SFFV-mediated IFN γ R1 expression on *Ifn γ r1*^{-/-} KO and WT cells, we studied the direct effect of IFN γ on corrected macrophages. As expression of MHC class II on macrophages can be induced upon stimulation with IFN γ ³⁶, we studied the upregulation of HLA-DR and of the co-stimulatory molecule CD86 (B7.2) upon treatment with IFN γ . Overexpression of IFN γ R1 by the Lv.SFFV.If γ r1 construct was able to restore upregulation of HLA-DR in GFP⁺ macrophages upon stimulation with IFN γ , whereas non-corrected

Ifn γ r1^{-/-} cells showed no response (**Figure 2A**). A similar observation was made for CD86. Here similar to HLA-DR, only corrected GFP⁺ macrophages showed restored upregulation of CD86 compared to WT cells (**Figure 2B**). Proper downstream signaling of IFN γ is induced upon initial binding to IFN γ R1, and subsequent dimerization of the two IFN γ R1 chains and the assembly of the functional IFN γ R1/2 heterodimer receptor complex. While the IFN γ :IFN γ R1/2 receptor complex forms a STAT1 binding site at the intracellular domain of IFN γ R1, receptor-associated-activated JAK kinases are able to phosphorylate STAT1 and to induce proper downstream signaling³⁷. Cultivation of Lv.SFFV.If γ r1-transduced macrophages led to IFN γ consumption over time, so that after 24 h of cultivation only 60-80% of the original IFN γ input was detected (**Figure 2C**). A similar picture was observed for the ability to phosphorylate STAT1 in the presence of IFN γ . While WT or genetically corrected macrophages were able to phosphorylate STAT1, non-corrected *If γ r1*^{-/-} macrophages showed no pSTAT1 upregulation in the presence of IFN γ (**Figure 2D**). Proper downstream signaling was also evaluated for known downstream target genes of IFN γ such as *Interferon regulatory factor 1 (Irf1)*, *nitric oxide synthase 2 (Nos2)*, or *indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (Ido)*. Stimulation of macrophages with IFN γ proved a restored expression pattern of *Irf1*, *Nos2*, and *Ido* in macrophages transduced with the Lv.SFFV.If γ r1 construct, which was very similar to WT cells (**Figure 2E**). In contrast, macrophages from *If γ r1*^{-/-} showed only background expression of the aforementioned genes (**Figure 2E**). While surface expression of HLA-DR and the secretion of IDO from macrophages have a direct effect on T cell behavior, we also analyzed the IFN γ -dependent ability of macrophages to suppress ovalbumin (OVA)-specific T cell proliferation in the presence or absence of antigen³⁸. Cultivation of CD4⁺ T cells from OTII mice with OVA-loaded and IFN γ -primed macrophages showed a clear reduction in the proliferation of T cells when either WT or genetically corrected macrophages were present (**Figure 2F**). In contrast, more than 80% of T cells still proliferated if co-cultured with *If γ r1*^{-/-} macrophages (**Figure 2F**). As a final assessment of our lentiviral construct, we elucidated the IFN γ -dependent intracellular degradation of *Mycobacterium avium (M. avium)* or BCG. Irrespective of the mycobacterium used, genetically corrected macrophages showed restored intracellular degradation of either *M. avium* or BCG, which was at a level comparable to WT macrophages (**Figure 2G and H**).

*Lineage restrictive expression of *Ifn γ 1* mediates phenotypic correction in HSPC-derived macrophages*

Following the promising *in vitro* results using the constitutive Lv.SFFV.If γ r1 lentiviral construct, we additionally designed 3rd generation SIN lentiviral constructs, which are able to express the murine *Ifn γ 1* cDNA in a cell type-specific manner in cells of the myeloid lineage. In order to achieve this aim, we used either a fragment of the human miRNA223 promoter³⁹ (Lv.miR223.If γ r1) or a promoter harboring a 1.5kb minimal promoter sequence from the gp91^{phox} locus fused to the SP146 synthetic promoter (Lv.MSP.If γ r1; MSP=myeloid specific promoter) (**Figure 3A**), previously shown to express transgenes cell type specific in the myeloid lineage^{40,41}. In order to evaluate the aforementioned constructs, we again isolated HSPCs from *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice, transduced them and differentiated the cells towards macrophages. Irrespective of the constructs used, MFI values of transduced macrophages revealed distinct expression of IFN γ R1, which was significantly higher than that in non-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} macrophages (**Figure 3B and C**). Of note, expression mediated by the Lv.MSP.If γ r1 construct revealed the highest expression of IFN γ R1 compared to WT and Lv.miR223.If γ r1-transduced macrophages (**Figure 3B and C**). Following the detection of CD119 on the cell surface, we also evaluated key macrophage functions, which are dependent on IFN γ stimulation. Similar to studies performed with the Lv.SFFV.If γ r1 construct, also myeloid-specific expression of IFN γ R1 was able to restore the upregulation of HLA-DR and CD86 in GFP positive macrophages (**Figure 3D and E**). In addition, both, Lv.miR223.If γ r1 and Lv.MSP.If γ r1 gene corrected cells were able to restore the expression of the IFN γ R downstream targets *Irf1* and *Nos2* after stimulation of macrophages with IFN γ (**Figure 3F**). As a final assessment for our myeloid cell-specific gene therapy approach, we also tested the ability of genetically corrected cells to reduce IFN γ levels in cell culture supernatant and to clear intracellular BCG. HSPCs transduced with either Lv.miR223.If γ r1 or Lv.MSP.If γ r1 constructs and differentiated towards macrophages showed restored consumption of IFN γ from the medium, reaching levels of 40% reduction within 32h post treatment, whereas IFN γ levels in cultures with non-corrected *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} macrophages remained unaffected (**Figure 3G**). Similarly, genetically corrected GFP positive macrophages showed restored intracellular degradation of BCG within 24 h post infection, whereas GFP negative cells from the same transduction were not able to clear BCG (**Figure 3H**). Similar to Lv.SFFV.If γ r1-transduced cells, also Lv.miR223.If γ r1- or Lv.MSP.If γ r1-transduced Raw264.7 cells showed stable transgene expression, which was in accordance with normal rates of apoptosis and proliferation (**Figure S3A-C**).

Hematopoietic gene and cell therapy using genetically corrected HSPCs mediates protection against BCG infection in vivo

As an *in vivo* assessment of our gene therapy approach, we used *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice and established a HSCGT approach, with the overall aim to protect transplanted mice from BCG infection (**Figure 4A**). After 9 Gy lethal irradiation, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} recipient mice received lineage negative cells either from WT C57Bl/6, or non-corrected *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} donor mice. Alternatively, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} recipient mice were transplanted with genetically corrected lineage negative cells, transduced either with Lv.SFFV.If γ R1 or Lv.MSP.If γ R1 constructs (**Figure 4A**). Following a minimum time of 6 weeks to allow for reconstitution of the hematopoietic system, we performed intrapulmonary infection of transplanted mice with DsRed-BCG⁴², followed by an observation time period of 5-9 weeks (**Figure 4A**). Analyzing the vector copy numbers (VCN) in the bone marrow of transplanted animals, mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced cells showed a VCN of 8-11, whereas cells from Lv.SFFV.If γ R1- or Lv.MSP.If γ R1-transplanted animals had a VCN of 1-2 or 0.5-2, respectively (**Figure 4B**). Of note, VCN values in the lungs increased post BCG infection in animals which received genetically corrected cells whereas mice transplanted with Lv.GFP-transduced HSC showed stable VCN values (**Figure 4B**). Detection of genetically corrected cells in the bone marrow and lung was associated with stabilized body weight ratios and with an increase in overall survival of transplanted mice following BCG infection (**Figure S4A and Figure 4C**). Irrespective of the viral construct used, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice transplanted with genetically corrected cells survived more than two months post infection, while control mice showed severe BCG related symptoms already 21 days post infection (**Figure 4C**). Similarly, mice, which received genetically corrected cells, showed low levels of IFN γ throughout the infection period, whereas Lv.GFP-transplanted mice showed increased values of IFN γ (**Figure 4D**).

Hematopoietic gene and cell therapy sustains organ integrity of Ifn γ R1^{-/-} mice following BCG infection

We next performed detailed analysis of hematopoietic organs following immunization with BCG. Transplantation of Lv.SFFV.If γ R1- or Lv.MSP.If γ R1-transduced cells into *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice prevented splenomegaly and led to a normalized spleen morphology as well as spleen to body weight ratio (**Figure 5A and B**). In addition, mice receiving genetically corrected cells had a splenic parenchyma with characteristic regions described as red and white pulp (**Figure 5C**). Moreover, detailed histology of spleen sections receiving genetically corrected cells

revealed only mild multifocal granulomatous inflammation irrespective of the lentiviral construct used. In contrast, negative control mice showed moderate to severe multifocal granulomatous inflammation with multi-nucleated giant cells. In line with the aforementioned histopathology, mice that received genetically corrected cells showed a 10-100 fold decrease in the mycobacterial burden in spleen homogenates compared to negative control mice (**Figure 5D**). A similar protective effect was observed in the lung. *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice which received Lv.SFFV.If γ R1- or Lv.MSP.If γ R1-transduced cells had a normal lung morphology comparable to that of mice receiving healthy wild type cells (positive controls), whereas mice which received non-corrected cells (negative control) showed clear signs of BCG-mediated infection (**Figure 6A**). The severity of BCG infection was also seen in light sheet microscopy analysis as DsRed positive BCG infections in the post-caval lung lobe of negative control mice (**Figure 6A and B**). In contrast, mice receiving healthy or genetically corrected HSCs had a much lower DsRed signal, highlighting a decreased BCG burden in the lung (**Figure 6A and B**) (**Supplemental Videos 1-4**). Similar observations were made for the inferior, middle, left and superior lung lobes (**Figure S4B**). Furthermore, total CFU analysis of lung tissue confirmed the reduced mycobacterial burden in the lung of transplanted mice. While negative control mice had a mean of 3×10^5 CFU per lung, mice that received genetically corrected cells showed bacterial burdens reduced by an order of magnitude (approx. 3×10^4 CFU per lung), irrespective of the lentiviral construct used (**Figure 6D**). Lung histology further supported the protective effect of genetically corrected cells in the lung. While Lv.MSP.If γ R1-transplanted animals showed a normal lung structure (**Figure 6E, picture 1 and 2**) and the presence of GFP-labeled macrophages in the bronchoalveolar space (**Figure 6F, picture 1 and 2**), Lv.GFP-transplanted negative control mice showed formation of pulmonary granulomas (indicated by *) with multinucleated giant cells surrounded by collagen fibers (**Figure 6E and F, picture 3 and 4**). Although Ziehl-Neelsen staining of macrophages in both Lv.MSP.If γ R1- and Lv.GFP-transplanted mice revealed the presence of acid fast bacteria (**Figure 6G**), only macrophages from mice transplanted with Lv.MSP.If γ R1-transduced cells were able to degrade BCG while Lv.GFP macrophages started to form granulomas (**Figure 6D-G**).

Discussion

In the present study, we demonstrate that a HSCGT approach for IFN γ R1 deficiency is feasible and that lentiviral gene transfer can correct the IFN γ signaling pathway in HSC-derived macrophages *in vitro*. As a consequence, we established an HSCGT approach using *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice and evaluated the therapeutic benefit of our HSCGT approach in a clinically relevant infection model using BCG. Thus, we highlight a new treatment approach, which is able to restore anti-mycobacterial activity of macrophages in lung and spleen. This observation is of clinical relevance, as patients suffering from AR complete IFN γ R1 deficiency have poor prognosis and often die in early childhood due to disseminated infections with BCG and/or other EM^{8,10}.

The feasibility and clinical transfer of HSCGT using retroviral vector technology has already been proven for other PIDs such as WAS, CGD, SCID-X1, or ADA-SCID¹⁻⁴, leading to the first marketing approval of an *ex vivo* gene therapy⁴³. In our model, similar to the aforementioned approaches, we have transplanted genetically corrected HSCs into lethally irradiated *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice prior to BCG infection. In this transplantation scenario, HSC are able to migrate to the bone marrow and engraft in the host. However, this approach might not be feasible in a transplantation scenario in which genetically corrected HSCs will be transplanted into patients who carry disseminated mycobacterial infections. Here, high levels of IFN γ in the plasma of patients may interfere with the engraftment of donor HSCs. Similar to attempts of HSCT using HLA-identical relatives, IFN γ may induce proliferation of genetically corrected HSCs, which hampers the engraftment potential of the HSCs and could ultimately lead to graft rejection^{22,27}. To circumvent this problem, humanized anti-IFN γ antibody (Fontolizumab; HuZAF) could be used in combination with rigorous antibiotic treatment to open a therapeutic window for the transplantation of autologous and genetically corrected HSCs⁴⁴.

As an alternative to the antibiotic/HuZAF therapy, systemic transplantation of genetically corrected or healthy macrophages could be performed in AR complete IFN γ R1 or IFN γ R2 deficient patients. Here, transplanted macrophages may be able to eradicate disseminated infections and to clear IFN γ from the plasma at the very same time, thus opening a therapeutic window for subsequent HSCGT. To strengthen this innovative approach, the intra-pulmonary transplantation of macrophages was shown to be very effective for the treatment of hereditary pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (herPAP)^{45,46}. Macrophages transferred directly into the lungs of diseased PAP mice could engraft and improve PAP-related disease symptoms in a very short time frame, favoring this concept to be also suited as a bridging therapy of AR complete

IFN γ R1 or -2 deficiencies. In our study, we have performed HSCGT using genetically corrected HSCs. The therapeutic benefit observed *in vivo*, however, is presumably based on corrected macrophages, which are derived from the donor graft. In fact, a direct link between the pathophysiology of MSMD and macrophages remains as of yet elusive. To shed light into the contribution of myeloid cells to the disease progression of MSMD, we have used lentiviral vectors, which express the therapeutic *Ifn γ r1* transgene in a myeloid cell type specific fashion. Using these vectors, we could prove a direct link of genetically corrected myeloid cells to the improved disease parameters observed in transplanted mice, suggesting an important role of macrophages in MSMD. Of note, macrophages and especially tissue resident macrophages (TRMs) play a pivotal role in the progression of different diseases⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰. The important role of macrophages is further underlined by the detection of GFP-positive macrophages in the lung and the sustained lung tissue integrity, post BCG infection of transplanted animals. In fact, analyzing the VCNs in transplanted animals revealed increased VCNs in lung compared to bone marrow of mice which had exclusively received genetically corrected HSC, suggesting that there might be *in vivo* selection of macrophages post BCG infection.

The rise of modern technologies such as generation of macrophage from induced pluripotent stem cells^{51,52} and the recent insights in tissue resident macrophage self-renewal and plasticity⁵³⁻⁵⁵ further encourages the use of modern cell types to treat the life-threatening condition of IFN γ R1 deficiency in human.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Doreen Lüttge and Theresa Buchegger (both Hannover Medical School) for excellent technical assistance. *Mycobacterium bovis* BCG was kindly provided by Maximilliano Gutierrez (The Francis Crick Institute, London UK). This work was supported by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Cluster of Excellence REBIRTH; Exc 62/1 to N.L., R.F. and A.S. as well as DFG LA 3680/2-1, Sonderforschungsbereich SFB738 to A.S.), the Else Kröner-Fresenius-Stiftung (EKFS; 2015_A92 to N.L.), German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF e.V., MD stipend for J.S.), the Joachim Herz Stiftung (N.L.), and MHH Hannover (“Young Academy” program to N.L.).

Author contribution

M.H., A.M., P.B. and N.L. designed the study, wrote the paper and performed experiments. A.H.H.N., J.S., O.H., M.P.K., S.B., R.M., D.B., V.H., W.B., F.C.B., R.G performed experiments and analyzed data. R.F., B.G., J.B., J.L.C., A.S., D.J., O.H., and U.K provided conceptual advice, discussed results and edited the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

All authors declare no financial interest.

References

1. Aiuti A, Biasco L, Scaramuzza S, et al. Lentiviral hematopoietic stem cell gene therapy in patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome. *Science*. 2013;341(6148):1233-151.
2. Aiuti A, Slavin S, Aker M, et al. Correction of ADA-SCID by stem cell gene therapy combined with nonmyeloablative conditioning. *Science*. 2002;296(5577):2410-2413.
3. Cavazzana-Calvo M, Hacein-Bey S, de Saint Basile G, et al. Gene therapy of human severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)-X1 disease. *Science*. 2000;288(5466):669-672.
4. Ott MG, Schmidt M, Schwarzwaelder K, et al. Correction of X-linked chronic granulomatous disease by gene therapy, augmented by insertional activation of MDS1-EVI1, PRDM16 or SETBP1. *Nat Med*. 2006;12(4):401-409.
5. Kaufmann KB, Buning H, Galy A, Schambach A, Grez M. Gene therapy on the move. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2013;5(11):1642-1661.
6. Jouanguy E, Altare F, Lamhamedi S, et al. Interferon-gamma-receptor deficiency in an infant with fatal bacille Calmette-Guerin infection. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;335(26):1956-1961.
7. Newport MJ, Huxley CM, Huston S, et al. A mutation in the interferon-gamma-receptor gene and susceptibility to mycobacterial infection. *N Engl J Med*. 1996;335(26):1941-1949.
8. Bustamante J, Boisson-Dupuis S, Abel L, Casanova JL. Mendelian susceptibility to mycobacterial disease: genetic, immunological, and clinical features of inborn errors of IFN-gamma immunity. *Semin Immunol*. 2014;26(6):454-470.
9. Dorman SE, Holland SM. Mutation in the signal-transducing chain of the interferon-gamma receptor and susceptibility to mycobacterial infection. *J Clin Invest*. 1998;101(11):2364-2369.
10. Dorman SE, Picard C, Lammas D, et al. Clinical features of dominant and recessive interferon gamma receptor 1 deficiencies. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9451):2113-2121.
11. Koscielniak E, de Boer T, Dupuis S, Naumann L, Casanova JL, Ottenhoff TH. Disseminated Mycobacterium peregrinum infection in a child with complete interferon-gamma receptor-1 deficiency. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2003;22(4):378-380.
12. Marazzi MG, Chapgier A, Defilippi AC, et al. Disseminated Mycobacterium scrofulaceum infection in a child with interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2010;14(2):e167-170.
13. Pierre-Audigier C, Jouanguy E, Lamhamedi S, et al. Fatal disseminated Mycobacterium smegmatis infection in a child with inherited interferon gamma receptor deficiency. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1997;24(5):982-984.
14. Gutierrez MJ, Kalra N, Horwitz A, Nino G. Novel Mutation of Interferon-gamma Receptor 1 Gene Presenting as Early Life Mycobacterial Bronchial Disease. *J Investig Med High Impact Case Rep*. 2016;4(4):2324709616675463.
15. Khanolkar A, Kirschmann DA, Caparelli EA, et al. CD4-T cell-restricted IL-2 signaling defect in a patient with a novel IFNGR1-deficiency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017.
16. van de Vosse E, van Dissel JT. IFN-gammaR1 defects: Mutation update and description of the IFNGR1 variation database. *Hum Mutat*. 2017;38(10):1286-1296.
17. Jouanguy E, Dupuis S, Pallier A, et al. In a novel form of IFN-gamma receptor 1 deficiency, cell surface receptors fail to bind IFN-gamma. *J Clin Invest*. 2000;105(10):1429-1436.
18. de Vor IC, van der Meulen PM, Bekker V, et al. Deletion of the entire interferon-gamma receptor 1 gene causing complete deficiency in three related patients. *J Clin Immunol*. 2016;36(3):195-203.

19. Tesi B, Sieni E, Neves C, et al. Hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis in 2 patients with underlying IFN-gamma receptor deficiency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;135(6):1638-1641.
20. Olbrich P, Martinez-Saavedra MT, Perez-Hurtado JM, et al. Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges in a child with complete interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2015;62(11):2036-2039.
21. Chantrain CF, Bruwier A, Brichard B, et al. Successful hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in a child with active disseminated Mycobacterium fortuitum infection and interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2006;38(1):75-76.
22. Roesler J, Horwitz ME, Picard C, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for complete IFN-gamma receptor 1 deficiency: a multi-institutional survey. *J Pediatr*. 2004;145(6):806-812.
23. Horwitz ME, Uzel G, Linton GF, et al. Persistent Mycobacterium avium infection following nonmyeloablative allogeneic peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for interferon-gamma receptor-1 deficiency. *Blood*. 2003;102(7):2692-2694.
24. Reuter U, Roesler J, Thiede C, et al. Correction of complete interferon-gamma receptor 1 deficiency by bone marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 2002;100(12):4234-4235.
25. Rottman M, Soudais C, Vogt G, et al. IFN-gamma mediates the rejection of haematopoietic stem cells in IFN-gammaR1-deficient hosts. *PLoS Med*. 2008;5(1):e26.
26. Delisle JS, Gaboury L, Belanger MP, Tasse E, Yagita H, Perreault C. Graft-versus-host disease causes failure of donor hematopoiesis and lymphopoiesis in interferon-gamma receptor-deficient hosts. *Blood*. 2008;112(5):2111-2119.
27. Baldridge MT, King KY, Boles NC, Weksberg DC, Goodell MA. Quiescent haematopoietic stem cells are activated by IFN-gamma in response to chronic infection. *Nature*. 2010;465(7299):793-797.
28. de Bruin AM, Demirel O, Hooibrink B, Brandts CH, Nolte MA. Interferon-gamma impairs proliferation of hematopoietic stem cells in mice. *Blood*. 2013;121(18):3578-3585.
29. Pronk CJ, Veiby OP, Bryder D, Jacobsen SE. Tumor necrosis factor restricts hematopoietic stem cell activity in mice: involvement of two distinct receptors. *J Exp Med*. 2011;208(8):1563-1570.
30. Rezzoug F, Huang Y, Tanner MK, et al. TNF-alpha is critical to facilitate hemopoietic stem cell engraftment and function. *J Immunol*. 2008;180(1):49-57.
31. Mucci A, Kunkiel J, Suzuki T, et al. Murine iPSC-Derived Macrophages as a Tool for Disease Modeling of Hereditary Pulmonary Alveolar Proteinosis due to Csf2rb Deficiency. *Stem Cell Reports*. 2016;7(2):292-305.
32. Lee CM, Hu J. Cell density during differentiation can alter the phenotype of bone marrow-derived macrophages. *Cell Biosci*. 2013;3:30.
33. Anes E, Peyron P, Staali L, et al. Dynamic life and death interactions between Mycobacterium smegmatis and J774 macrophages. *Cell Microbiol*. 2006;8(6):939-960.
34. Erturk A, Becker K, Jahrling N, et al. Three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs using 3DISCO. *Nat Protoc*. 2012;7(11):1983-1995.
35. Huang S, Hendriks W, Althage A, et al. Immune response in mice that lack the interferon-gamma receptor. *Science*. 1993;259(5102):1742-1745.
36. Steimle V, Siegrist CA, Mottet A, Lisowska-Grospierre B, Mach B. Regulation of MHC class II expression by interferon-gamma mediated by the transactivator gene CIITA. *Science*. 1994;265(5168):106-109.
37. Bach EA, Aguet M, Schreiber RD. The IFN gamma receptor: a paradigm for cytokine receptor signaling. *Annu Rev Immunol*. 1997;15:563-591.
38. Munn DH, Shafizadeh E, Attwood JT, Bondarev I, Pashine A, Mellor AL. Inhibition of T cell proliferation by macrophage tryptophan catabolism. *J Exp Med*. 1999;189(9):1363-1372.

39. Brendel C, Hanseler W, Wohlgensinger V, et al. Human miR223 promoter as a novel myelo-specific promoter for chronic granulomatous disease gene therapy. *Hum Gene Ther Methods*. 2013;24(3):151-159.
40. Chiriaco M, Farinelli G, Capo V, et al. Dual-regulated lentiviral vector for gene therapy of X-linked chronic granulomatosis. *Mol Ther*. 2014;22(8):1472-1483.
41. He W, Qiang M, Ma W, et al. Development of a synthetic promoter for macrophage gene therapy. *Hum Gene Ther*. 2006;17(9):949-959.
42. Abadie V, Badell E, Douillard P, et al. Neutrophils rapidly migrate via lymphatics after Mycobacterium bovis BCG intradermal vaccination and shuttle live bacilli to the draining lymph nodes. *Blood*. 2005;106(5):1843-1850.
43. Aiuti A, Roncarolo MG, Naldini L. Gene therapy for ADA-SCID, the first marketing approval of an ex vivo gene therapy in Europe: paving the road for the next generation of advanced therapy medicinal products. *EMBO Mol Med*. 2017;9(6):737-740.
44. Hommes DW, Mikhajlova TL, Stoinov S, et al. Fontolizumab, a humanised anti-interferon gamma antibody, demonstrates safety and clinical activity in patients with moderate to severe Crohn's disease. *Gut*. 2006;55(8):1131-1137.
45. Happle C, Lachmann N, Skuljec J, et al. Pulmonary transplantation of macrophage progenitors as effective and long-lasting therapy for hereditary pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6(250):250ra113.
46. Suzuki T, Arumugam P, Sakagami T, et al. Pulmonary macrophage transplantation therapy. *Nature*. 2014;514(7523):450-454.
47. Cartier N, Lewis CA, Zhang R, Rossi FM. The role of microglia in human disease: therapeutic tool or target? *Acta Neuropathol*. 2014;128(3):363-380.
48. Kleff V, Sorg UR, Bury C, et al. Gene therapy of beta(c)-deficient pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (beta(c)-PAP): studies in a murine in vivo model. *Mol Ther*. 2008;16(4):757-764.
49. Kovtunovych G, Ghosh MC, Ollivierre W, et al. Wild-type macrophages reverse disease in heme oxygenase 1-deficient mice. *Blood*. 2014;124(9):1522-1530.
50. Suzuki T, Sakagami T, Rubin BK, et al. Familial pulmonary alveolar proteinosis caused by mutations in CSF2RA. *J Exp Med*. 2008;205(12):2703-2710.
51. Lachmann N, Ackermann M, Frenzel E, et al. Large-scale hematopoietic differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells provides granulocytes or macrophages for cell replacement therapies. *Stem Cell Reports*. 2015;4(2):282-296.
52. Lachmann N, Happle C, Ackermann M, et al. Gene correction of human induced pluripotent stem cells repairs the cellular phenotype in pulmonary alveolar proteinosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2014;189(2):167-182.
53. Lavin Y, Winter D, Blecher-Gonen R, et al. Tissue-resident macrophage enhancer landscapes are shaped by the local microenvironment. *Cell*. 2014;159(6):1312-1326.
54. Imperatore F, Maurizio J, Vargas Aguilar S, et al. SIRT1 regulates macrophage self-renewal. *EMBO J*. 2017;36(16):2353-2372.
55. Davies LC, Jenkins SJ, Allen JE, Taylor PR. Tissue-resident macrophages. *Nat Immunol*. 2013;14(10):986-995.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Constitutive lentiviral *Ifn γ R1* overexpression in *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} macrophages. (A) Schematic representation of the lentiviral vector Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* expressing the *Ifn γ R1* cDNA by a spleen focus forming virus (SFFV) promoter coupled to a GFP reporter via an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES). (B) GFP expression in *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} macrophages without (left plot) and with transduction (middle plot) using the Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* vector. Histogram depicting IFN γ R1 (CD119) expression in *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (black), WT (blue) and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (blue) macrophages compared to an isotype control (grey). (C) WT (left), *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (middle), and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* (right) macrophages presenting characteristic morphology in representative cytopins. (D) Characteristic macrophage surface marker expression of CD11b (left), CD200R (middle), and F4/80 (right) at similar levels on WT (green), *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (red), and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (black) macrophages compared to unstained samples (grey).

Figure 2. Restored macrophage functionality upon Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* transduction of *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} cells. (A) Representative histograms depicting MHC-II upregulation on IFN γ -stimulated (black) vs. unstimulated (grey) WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages. Bar graphs depicting summarized results of three independent experiments (2-way ANOVA using Sidak's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, ns = not significant, ***p \leq 0,001, ****p \leq 0,0001). (B) Representative histograms depicting CD86 upregulation on IFN γ -stimulated (black) vs. unstimulated (grey) WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages. Bar graphs depicting summarized results of three independent experiments (2-way ANOVA using Sidak's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, ns = not significant, *p \leq 0,05, **p \leq 0,01). (C) IFN γ internalization of WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages over 24 h (normalized to 0h; n=4; 2-way ANOVA using Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, ****p \leq 0,0001). (D) Phosphorylation of STAT1 (pSTAT1) in unstimulated (-) and IFN γ -stimulated (+) WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages compared to GAPDH loading control. (E) Relative mRNA expression of *Irf1*, *Nos2*, and *Ido* in IFN γ -stimulated WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages normalized to unstimulated samples (*Irf1*: n=4, *Nos2*, *Ido*: n=3). (F) Induction of ovalbumin-specific T cell proliferation by WT (black), *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (light grey), and Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (dark grey) macrophages upon stimulation with IFN γ (left bars) or IFN γ and ovalbumin (OVA, right bars). Bars depicting the percentage of eFluor670^{low} CD4⁺ T cells (n=3; 2-way ANOVA using Bonferroni's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, **p \leq 0,01, ***p \leq 0,001). (G) Bacterial burden of *Mycobacterium avium* in macrophages 0 h

(black) and 24 h (grey) after infection (n=3; 2-way ANOVA using Sidak's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, ***p \leq 0,001, ****p \leq 0,0001). (H) Bacterial burden of *Bacillus Calmette Guérin* in macrophages 8 days after infection (normalized to uptake value 4 h after infection; n=3; one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, **p \leq 0,01).

Figure 3. Expression and function of myeloid- specific lentiviral *Ifn γ R1* overexpression in *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} macrophages. (A) Schematic representation of the lentiviral vectors expressing the *Ifn γ R1* cDNA by a synthetic myeloid specific promoter (Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*) or a miR223 promoter (Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*) both coupled to a GFP reporter via an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES). (B) Histogram depicting IFN γ R1 expression in *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (grey), WT (green), Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (blue) and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (orange) macrophages. Bar graphs depicting the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of IFN γ R1 (CD119; n=3; one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, **p \leq 0,01, ****p \leq 0,0001). (C) Representative brightfield, GFP- and autofluorescence pictures of mock-transduced (left), Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (middle), and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (right) macrophages. (D) Representative histograms depicting MHC-II upregulation on IFN γ -stimulated (black) vs. unstimulated (grey) WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced, and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages. Bar graphs depicting summarized results of four independent experiments (one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, *p \leq 0,05). (E) Representative histograms depicting CD86 upregulation on IFN γ -stimulated (black) vs. unstimulated (grey) WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced, and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages. Bar graphs depicting summarized results of four independent experiments (one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons post hoc testing, ns = not significant). (F) Relative mRNA expression of *Irf1* and *Nos2* in IFN γ -stimulated WT, *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-}, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced, and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages (grey) normalized to unstimulated samples (black; n=4). (G) IFN γ internalization of WT (black), *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} (grey), Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (red), and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced (green) macrophages over 32 h (normalized to 0 h; n=3; 2-way ANOVA using Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc testing; ****p \leq 0,0001). (H) Bacterial burden of *Bacillus Calmette Guérin* in Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced and Lv.miR223.*Ifn γ R1*-transduced macrophages 24 h after infection sorted positive and negative for GFP expression (normalized to uptake value 0 h after infection; n=3).

Figure 4. Engraftment of lentiviral *Ifn γ 1* overexpressing cells rescues *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice from lethal pulmonary BCG infection. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental outline. *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice were lethally irradiated and transplanted with lineage negative *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells transduced with Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* or Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1*. After hematopoietic reconstitution, DsRed labelled BCG was instilled to the lungs of the transplanted mice. Final analysis was performed latest 9 weeks after infection. (B) Number of vector copies (VCN) integrated into cells in the bone marrow (BM) and lungs (L) of transplanted and infected mice. Equal symbols represent VCN of the same mouse in BM and L (n \geq 3; p-values are shown). (C) Kaplan-Meier-curve depicting the survival of mice after BCG infection in two independent experiments (positive ctrl n=6 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=13 (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* n=7, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1* n=6). (D) IFN γ serum levels of mice 2, 4, 6 and 8 weeks after infection. Mean and SEM are shown for three independent experiments (positive ctrl n=9 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=9 (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells and non-transplanted *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* and Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1* n=7).

Figure 5. Prevention of severe splenomegaly upon constitutive or myeloid-specific *Ifn γ 1* overexpression. (A) Representative macroscopic pictures of spleens isolated from mice 9 weeks after infection. Scale bar 2 cm (positive ctrl = mouse receiving WT cells, negative ctrl = non-transplanted *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mouse). (B) Spleen-to-body weight ratio depicting the normalized spleen weight of individual mice 9 weeks after infection. Mean and SEM are shown for three independent experiments (positive ctrl n=10 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=13 (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells and non-transplanted *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* n=10, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1* n=9, one-way ANOVA using Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc testing). (C) H&E stained histologic sections of spleens isolated 9 weeks after infection. Positive ctrls (mice receiving WT cells), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* and Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1* mice show typical spleen histology with clear separation between white pulp (areas within dotted lines, #) and red pulp (*), while this separation is absent in negative ctrl mice (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells), 100x magnification. (D) BCG CFU counts of spleen homogenates 9 weeks after infection. Mean and SEM are shown for two independent experiments (positive ctrl n=7 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=6 (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} cells and non-transplanted *Ifn γ 1*^{-/-} mice), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ 1* n=4, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ 1* n=5).

Figure 6. Preservation of lung structure after pulmonary BCG infection upon constitutive or myeloid-specific *Ifn γ R1* overexpression. (A) Representative macroscopic pictures of lungs isolated from mice 9 weeks after infection (positive ctrl = mouse receiving WT cells, negative ctrl = mouse receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} cells). (B) Representative light sheet microscopy pictures of post caval lung lobes depicting the lung structure in green and infiltration of DsRed-labelled BCG in red (positive ctrl = mouse receiving WT cells, negative ctrl = non-transplanted *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mouse). (C) Quantification of light sheet microscopy depicting the percentage of infected volume of the total lung volume. Mean and SEM are shown for one representative experiment (positive ctrl n=9 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=13 (non-transplanted *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* n=10, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1* n=9; one-way ANOVA using Tukey's multiple comparisons post hoc testing; ****p \leq 0,0001). (D) BCG CFU counts of lung homogenates 9 weeks after infection. Mean and SEM are shown for two independent experiments (positive ctrl n=6 (mice receiving WT cells), negative ctrl n=5 (mice receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} cells and non-transplanted *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} mice), Lv.SFFV.*Ifn γ R1* n=4, Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1* n=5; one-way ANOVA using Dunnett's multiple comparisons post hoc testing; *p \leq 0,05, **p \leq 0,01). (E) Representative H&E stained histologic sections of lungs isolated 9 weeks after infection are shown for Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1* mouse (1 and 2) and negative ctrl (mouse receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} cells; 3 and 4). 1: Macrophages and multinucleated cells within the alveoli indicated by black arrows (200x). 2: Magnification of black box indicated in 1. 3: Granuloma indicated by * and dotted line with macrophages and neutrophils surrounded by collagen fibers (arrow; 200x). 4: Higher magnification picture of giant cells (indicated by black arrows). (F) Representative GFP-stained histologic lung sections isolated 9 weeks after infection are shown for Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1* mouse (1 and 2) and negative ctrl (mouse receiving Lv.GFP-transduced *Ifn γ R1*^{-/-} cells; 3 and 4). 1: GFP-positive cells (brown signals) are distributed equally throughout the lung tissue of the Lv.MSP.*Ifn γ R1* mouse lung and give rise to macrophages indicated by black arrows (200x). 2: Higher magnification of GFP-positive intraalveolar macrophages (600x). 3: GFP-positive cells are numerous in granulomas of negative ctrl mice indicated by * and dotted line with macrophages and neutrophils (black arrows) surrounded by collagen fibers (dotted arrow; 200x). 4: Higher magnification picture of clustered GFP-positive cells (indicated by black arrows). (G) Ziehl-Neelson staining of histologic lung sections indicating intracellular acid fast bacteria (black arrows, 1 and 2; 600x).